

## Solicitors' Journal.

LONDON, MAY 22, 1880.

## CONTENTS.

CURRENT TOPICS:—	
The Chancery Cause Lists .....	549
The Legislation of the Session .....	549
New Rules of the Supreme Court .....	549
Costs of Third Parties .....	549
Re-sealing Probates .....	550
The Office to Distribute Forms .....	550
A Refreshment-room in the Royal Courts of Justice .....	550
LEADERS:—	
Home Rule for Everybody .....	550
Are Expenses of Leaving Port of Refuge General Average? .....	552
RECENT DECISIONS .....	553
GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE .....	553
NEW ORDERS, &c. ....	553
CASES OF THE WEEK:—	
Falk, Ex parte .....	554
Sidebotham, Ex parte .....	554
Leigh v. Patten .....	555
Morton and Hallett, In re .....	555
OCIETIES .....	555
LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL .....	556
OBITUARY .....	557
APPOINTMENTS, ETC. ....	557
COMPANIES .....	558
LEGISLATION OF THE WEEK .....	558
CREDITORS' CLAIMS .....	558
COURT PAPERS .....	560
LONDON GAZETTES, &c., &c. ....	563

## CASES REPORTED IN THE WEEKLY REPORTER.

Atwood and others v. Sellar & Co (App.) .....	604
Cooper v. The Queen (Ch.Div. V.C.M.) .....	611
Corporation of London v. Riggs (Ch.Div. M.R.) .....	610
Dewar v. Brock (Ch.Div. V.C.H.) .....	613
Harding v. Williams (Ch.Div. Fry, J.) .....	613
Kinsman v. Jackson (App.) .....	615
Levy v. Lovell (App.) .....	610
Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, The, v. The London and Provincial Supply Association (Limited) (App.) .....	608
Queen, The (Ex parte Vestry of St. Mary, Islington) v. J. B. Price and others (Justices of Middlesex) (Q.B.Div.) .....	615
Rees v. The Metropolitan Board of Works (Ch.Div. Fry, J.) .....	614
Williams v. The Mayor of Tenby and others (C.P.Div.) .....	616

## CURRENT TOPICS.

THE CAUSE LISTS of the Chancery Division, with which the five chancery judges will begin the work of Trinity Sittings, contain in all 422 causes. Of this number 94 are before the Master of the Rolls, 51 of them being causes with witnesses. Vice-Chancellor Malins has also 94 causes; Vice-Chancellor Bacon 67; Vice-Chancellor Hall 90, and Mr. Justice Fry 77. It is singular that in the Easter Sittings the number of causes on these lists was also exactly 422. At the beginning of Trinity Sittings last year the number of causes was 390. The new appeal list contains 151 appeals, of which 74 are from the Chancery Division, and 77 from the common law divisions. Last Easter there were 158 appeals, and in Trinity, 1879, 184 appeals.

IF THE LEGISLATION mentioned in the Queen's Speech affords any guide to the work of the session, the Statute-book will this year be reduced below even the rapidly diminishing dimensions of recent sessions. The five measures announced are, however, probably only offered as an instalment, and we are not without hope that a Bankruptcy Law Amendment Bill, proceeding on the lines of the Act of 1869, and aiming at the removal of the defects which have brought that Act into discredit, will find a place among the Government measures of this

session. As we have often pointed out, the amendments needed are perfectly well ascertained, and will not be seriously combatted. We have had inquiry on inquiry, and if ever a subject was ripe for legislation, this is.

A BATCH OF NEW RULES of the Supreme Court came into operation last Saturday; and, as usual, are made known to the public some days afterwards. They are chiefly amendments and alterations of the Rules of April last. The most important change is the annulling of the rule relating to the register of Bills of Sale. Messrs. Stubbs & Perry are to be permitted to continue their publications, and it is merely now provided that official searches may be made and certificates given of the result. Another rule qualifies the generality of the provision of ord. 37, rule 3, that "every affidavit shall be filed at the Central Office," by providing that it shall not apply to affidavits required to be filed in a district registry. The rules as to applications at chambers are also declared not to be applicable to district registries; and it is provided that the forms in the schedule to the Rules of April may be used in district registries with such variations as circumstances require. By another rule, ord. 54, rule 10, is amended by omitting the provisions that summonses attended by counsel shall not be heard before two in the afternoon. The last rule provides for a vacation at the Manchester District Registry of five days next after Whit Monday. We have an impression that some further amending rules will be necessary before the Rules of April, 1880, will work satisfactorily.

SOME VERY AWKWARD QUESTIONS with reference to the provisions in the Judicature Acts for bringing in parties other than the plaintiff and defendant in an action were raised in the recent case of *The Yorkshire Wagon Company v. Newport Coal Company* (28 W. R. 505, L. R. 5 Q. B. D. 268). In the case in question parties were brought in on a most extensive scale; not only did the defendant bring in a third party, but the third party brought in a fourth party, and the fourth party brought in a fifth party. In the case of each party so brought in a master had made an order, under the provisions of ord. 16, r. 21, reserving to the judge at the trial discretion as to the costs. There was no dispute that by this it was meant that the judge should have full power to order the parties so brought in to receive, as well as to pay, costs. The combination of circumstances from which the necessity for bringing in this long chain of parties arose is by no means an exceptional one. The plaintiff sued to recover the possession of certain goods. The defendant made title to them under the third party, the third party under the fourth, and so on, each party claiming indemnity in case of an adverse verdict against his immediate predecessor in title, and wishing to bind him by the verdict for the purposes of the subsequent claim for such indemnity. The result of the case is to show that the provisions of the Judicature Act and Rules with regard to this matter are somewhat crude and difficult of practical application. It seems as if they were drawn in contemplation solely of a verdict for the plaintiff, and that their framers did not sufficiently bear in mind the possibility of a verdict for the defendant. The defendant in the case we are discussing got a verdict on the evidence of the fifth party, who proved that his title to the goods was better than the plaintiff's. The question then arose who was to pay the costs of all the parties who had been brought in. Clearly not the plaintiff, for he had not desired them to be brought in, and had nothing to do with bringing them in. Ultimately the judge practically ordered the defendant to pay the costs of all of them—at least, he ordered the fourth party to pay the costs of fifth, and the third to pay the costs of the fourth, including the costs he had to pay to the fifth, and the defendant to pay the costs of the third party, including

the costs which he had to pay to the fourth. The court ultimately held that there was no power on the part of the master to make the order he made in the first instance as to costs, or on the part of the judge to make the order he made in acting upon it. The Lord Chief Justice and Justices Lush and Manisty could find no power either in the Act or Rules for the making of any order for the payment of costs to parties brought in. The Lord Chief Justice does not appear to have thought that there was necessarily any omission in the rules. He appeared to think that it being optional on the part of the third party to come in, the defendant ought not to be compellable to pay the costs; but it must be remembered that though it is in one sense optional, the third party is bound by the finding in the action if he does not come in. There may in many cases be collusion between the plaintiff and defendant—or, at any rate, if the defendant is entitled to indemnity he may not fight the case in a satisfactory way. It seems rather hard that a man should be brought into an action, and, the plaintiff failing, that he should have to pay his own costs. The failure of the plaintiff shows that there was no cause of action by the defendant against the third party; but it is obvious that he could not—at least, in many cases—safely neglect to appear, and so the result is that he is saddled with costs, though perfectly free from liability in the matter. On the other hand, it does not seem clear that the party bringing him in ought to pay his costs. His attitude is simply this. He says, "I am being sued. I claim indemnity against you if the plaintiff succeeds. I cannot tell whether he has a cause of action or not; if he has, you ought to indemnify me." It does not seem very easy to work out the justice of the case with regard to costs if the plaintiff fails. If the plaintiff succeeds, no doubt the case is much clearer. In that case it would seem that the third party, who is the real defendant, ought to pay.

WE PRINTED last week a rather mysterious order stating that, "the Lord Chancellor, with the concurrence of the Lords Commissioners of her Majesty's Treasury, hereby gives notice that the following offices of the Supreme Court are added to Schedule B. of the Order in Council of 4th of June, 1870, viz.:—The offices of official stationers to distribute stamps and forms of the Royal Courts of Justice." The meaning of this is that the offices referred to are excepted from the operation of the order which requires examination by the Civil Service Commissioners as a condition precedent to employment in the office. It will be observed that the office to distribute forms is now a recognized part of the legal departments.

THERE HAS BEEN SOME CORRESPONDENCE during the week upon the subject of the re-sealing of probates in respect of property in Ireland. Complaints are made of the cost and trouble attending the process of re-sealing, and of the delays which occur when the duty paid has to be increased. But none of the correspondents have pointed out the anomaly which, according to Coote (Probate Practice, p. 45) exists, and lies at the root of the whole matter. Where a testator domiciled in England at the time of his death has, in addition to his English personalty, left other effects in Scotland or in Scotland and Ireland conjointly, the whole of his personal property in the United Kingdom may be included in the probate granted by the English court. But if the testator has left property in England and Ireland only the provision as to probate for the United Kingdom does not apply, and the process of re-sealing must be resorted to.

IT IS UNDERSTOOD that early in July arrangements will be made for providing a refreshment room in the Royal Courts of Justice.

### HOME RULE FOR EVERYBODY.

A LETTER from "A Member of the Council of the Incorporated Law Society," which appeared in the *Times* last week, suggests considerations of no little interest. We have no intention of entering upon any discussion of the claims of any part of the United Kingdom to powers of separate legislation, whether unrestricted or of a limited nature; nor does the letter to which we refer give any support to any such claim; but it makes a suggestion which seems to us to contain the germ of a very practical and urgently-needed reform.

There can be no doubt that, "obstruction" altogether apart, the work of Parliament is too great for its strength, and that some means must be devised, either by an alteration of the machinery of legislation, or by removing the necessity of parliamentary interference in certain classes of cases where it is now requisite, or both, for enabling the Legislature to keep pace with the demands upon it. Neither of the suggested courses involves any new principle; both of them have been successfully employed within the present century. The former plan was adopted to relieve parliamentary committees from the numerous and complicated investigations consequent upon the great multiplication of "Inclosure" Bills which followed the first Reform Act, when the "Inclosure Commission"—to whose duties so much extra work has since been added—was established. The commissioners were not intrusted with authority actually to complete any inclosure whatever; their function was simply to investigate the facts upon the spot, and to make a report to Parliament embodying the result of their inquiries; which report, nevertheless, had no operation whatever until confirmed by Act of Parliament. By this means Parliament was relieved of all the labour of minute examinations of complicated statements of facts, without parting with any of its legislative authority; and the persons interested for or against the inclosure of the various commons throughout the country were spared the heavy expenses of proceedings in the committee rooms at Westminster, and provided with a tribunal, not only much cheaper, but also, in that it acted on the spot, more satisfactory. The other plan was that selected by Lord Cranworth for dealing with settled estates, when the Court of Chancery was given power to authorize limited owners to do all these acts which had theretofore been usually made the subject of private Bills. And so effectual did this course prove that, whereas up to the passing of the first "Leases and Sales of Settled Estates Act" every year's Statute-book contained a considerable number of Acts of this nature, such Acts have since that time been almost unknown, while, on the other hand, the annual average in number and value of the estates dealt with has largely increased.

But the relief thus obtained has been only partial; or, rather, the continual natural growth of regular parliamentary business has more than filled up the gaps thus temporarily made; and it has again become necessary to look about for some means of further relief from the excessive tax on the time and strain on the powers of the Legislature imposed by our present parliamentary system. And the required means are not far to seek. By far the heaviest part of the duties of an ordinary member of Parliament, the duty which he performs with most reluctance, and for which he is usually most eminently unfit, is sitting upon "Private Bill Committees," and these committees are, at the same time, a source of exceptionally heavy and very unnecessary expenditure. A strongly opposed Railway or Water Bill may take weeks in passing through the ordeal of the Select Committees; during all this time witnesses have to be kept in London at very great cost, men of business are frequently detained away from their homes at very considerable inconvenience, and the company, if it succeeds in getting its Act, starts with a burden in the shape of "preliminary expenses" out of all proportion to the total amount of capital required

for its undertaking. Add to this that the whole inquiry has frequently to be gone through twice over, before different committees having nothing in common except their unfitness adequately to deal with the subject, and the arbitrary, not to say capricious, character of their decisions—decisions, moreover, founded upon evidence ordinarily consisting in great part of the untested opinions of experts, and necessarily arrived at in the absence of a great deal of information which could, and generally would, have been forthcoming in the case of an inquiry upon the spot—and it must be clear that a reform in the system is not less urgently needed in the interest of the promoters and opponents of such Bills than for the sake of relieving the pressure upon Parliament.

But great as is the evil of this system of legislation in the case of trading companies (such as railway or dock companies) which apply for exceptional powers for their own private ends, and of whom it may perhaps be said that those who seek authority to override the ordinary property rights of their neighbours for their own advantage must not complain of the inevitable attendant expense, it is ten times worse in the case of "Towns' Improvements" and other similar undertakings, promoted by public bodies, not for the private advantage of any individuals, but for the general good of the community. The late Local Government Acts have done something towards alleviating the hardship in these cases, but the grievance still remaining is not a light one. Why should the corporation, say, of Liverpool, or Glasgow, if it wants extended powers of street improvement, or extended authority to supply the town with gas or water, have to submit to an investigation of the case in London at three times the cost, both in time and money, which would be needed for a thorough inquiry upon the spot, which latter would moreover be infinitely more effective? Why should not Parliament consent to act upon the result of such an inquiry in the same manner and to the same extent as it now acts upon the report of a select committee? We do not propose, nor would it, we think, be right, that Parliament should in these cases, as in the case of settled estates, part with any portion of its legislative authority. The discretion—(1) whether to entertain the application at all or not, and (2) whether, upon the ascertained facts, to accede to it or not—should still remain with Parliament; but we cannot doubt that the duty of ascertaining and reporting upon the facts, in the manner now done by the Select Committees, might be intrusted to an outside body with very great advantage.

Whether this body should be a permanent one, like the Inclosure Commissioners, or one, like a jury or a select committee, constituted in each case *pro hac vice*, is a matter of comparatively little consequence; but there are considerations of some weight which seem to point to the former as the preferable alternative. If such an inquiry is to be of any use in lightening the labours of Parliament, it must be such that Parliament can accept its results with at least so much confidence as to preclude any further investigation of the facts; and for this purpose it must be so conducted as to give satisfaction, not only to the Legislature, but to the parties interested in the question. It is true that, in the majority of cases, the Select Committees do not possess this latter qualification, but their constitution prevents alike improvement and complaint. It is very certain that no outside tribunal would be tolerated whose decisions were as fluctuating, as incapable of being referred to any fixed principles, as those of the committees; which are acquiesced in, not because they give satisfaction, but because any complaint of their action would be nugatory, being practically made to themselves. But an outside body like the proposed Commission of Inquiry should not only act upon fixed and definite principles, but be capable of expressing those principles, and applying them, in their report, to the circumstances of the case, so as effectively to

guide the discretion of those in whose hands the ultimate authority lies. And this function, which is essentially of a judicial nature, would be much more efficiently performed by permanent officials fitted by previous training for this particular duty than by any casual jury, however intelligent, or any board of arbitration got together for the nonce, however distinguished the individuals of which it consisted. And, indeed, the greater the individual weight of the members of such a board of arbitration the less likely would it be that the decisions of different boards would proceed upon a common principle, and thus the very efficiency in other respects of such a board would tend to aggravate that uncertainty of decision which is so serious an evil in the present system.

To such a tribunal, however constituted, every private Bill should be referred as soon as it has been read a second time in either House; and as soon as the referees have reported, it should be taken up again, "upon consideration of the report," without passing through any committee either of the whole House or otherwise, though of course it should be open to any member, as now, to propose amendments either on the report or the third reading. When such a Bill had passed one House it should be similarly proceeded with in the other, save only that no further reference to the commissioners would be necessary, the same report serving for the purposes of both Houses. It should be the duty of the commissioners to go through every Bill, opposed or unopposed, and to point out everything which, in any case, seemed to them to require notice "in the interests of the public;" and it should further be incumbent on them, in the case of every opposed Bill, to conduct the necessary inquiry *on the spot*, or at the nearest town containing the requisite accommodation (in no case more distant than the nearest assize town) in the same manner as is now done by the judges in the case of election petitions. The commissioners should also be required to conduct the inquiry *themselves*, and not in any case to delegate any part of it (except perhaps matters of account) to any subordinate or assistant—the want of some provision of this sort has sadly marred the usefulness both of the Inclosure and the Endowed Schools Commissions—and they should be severally, and not collectively, responsible for their report, so as to throw on any commissioner not agreeing with the majority the duty of expressing and explaining his dissent.

What number of commissioners there should be, how qualified, and whether all bound to act together, or subject to a *quorum*, are questions of detail which may perhaps be conveniently left for determination if and when the project is more matured. But for the purpose of trying the experiment—and it would be well to proceed tentatively at first—the necessary machinery is at hand. The Railway Commissioners, with perhaps the addition of a "person of great experience in" engineering, or other scientific expert, would form a tribunal very efficient for the purpose, and might, without any undue pressure upon their time, or any interference with their normal duties, be advantageously employed in relieving the Legislature from a burden too heavy for it to bear, and at the same time in affording to every locality in England, Ireland, and Scotland the truest and least equivocal of the blessings of Home Rule.

---

A "Clerk to Justices" writes to the *Times* as follows:—During the recent elections many members of Parliament and the officials conducting elections must have been much troubled to decide what was the correct time to open and close the poll. Greenwich time is now kept almost throughout England, but it appears that Greenwich time is not legal time. For example, our polling booths were opened, say, at 8.13 and closed at 4.13 p.m. This point as to what is legal time often arises in our criminal courts, but has hitherto escaped a proper discussion and decision. Will not some new M.P. take up this point and endeavour to get an Act passed making Greenwich time legal time?



### ARE EXPENSES OF LEAVING PORT OF REFUGE GENERAL AVERAGE?

THE decision of the Court of Appeal in the case of *Atwood v. Sellar* (L. R. 5 Q. B. D. 286) has set at rest a point of very great importance on the law of general average, and one which appears to have lately given rise to no small amount of discussion among those who follow the profession of average staters. We made some observations on the decision of the court below (27 W. R. 726), but the case being one of considerable interest and the decision in the Court of Appeal having more fully developed the considerations involved, we think it worth while to comment upon the case a second time. The facts were simple. A vessel on her voyage encountered severe weather, and in consequence the foretopmast had to be cut away. She put into a port of refuge to repair the damage. In order to effect the repairs it was necessary to discharge a portion of the cargo, and expenses were incurred in landing, warehousing, and re-shipping the same. Further expenses were incurred for pilotage and other charges paid in respect of the ship leaving port and proceeding on her voyage. It was not disputed that the putting into the port of refuge for repairs was a general average sacrifice, and the defendants, as owners of a part of the cargo, were contented to pay contribution in respect of the expenses of discharging cargo, but it was contended on their behalf that the expenses of warehousing and re-shipping and the pilotage and other charges—in fact, the expenses of leaving the port and resuming the voyage—were not general average. The defendants' contention was based on what was found to have been the practice of average adjusters for eighty years. But it appeared that the average adjuster who had acted in this case, a man of great eminence in his profession, had formed the opinion that this practice was wrong, and that all the expense incurred up to the time of the vessel's being at sea again was general average, and ought to form the subject of contribution on the defendant's part, and he had accordingly drawn up the average statement on that footing. The case was stated for the opinion of the court to test the validity of the statement so framed. The majority of the Queen's Bench Division, Cockburn, C.J., and Mellor, J., decided in favour of the plaintiffs, that all these expenses were general average, Manisty, J., dissenting. The dissentient judge based his opinion upon the practice of the average adjusters, but the Court of Appeal treated such practice as immaterial, on the ground that it was admitted not to be in the nature of a mercantile usage, but to have obtained merely because average adjusters had supposed it to be the law. In this view the matter is thrown back on the principles of the law relating to general average. The peculiar interest of the case lies in the fact that the English law has been supposed to differ in this respect from the law of most Continental nations and the law of the United States, the law of other nations recognizing these expenses as general average. The sympathies of the Chief Justice tend towards following the logical principles of jurisprudence rather than precedent when the two are at variance, and, in a long considered judgment, with which Mr. Justice Mellor agreed, he arrived at the conclusion that the law of this country was not in accordance with the practice of average adjusters, and agreed with the law of other nations.

This view has now been declared correct by the Court of Appeal. It seems to us that, as is not unfrequently the case, the further discussion which the case received in the Court of Appeal has tended to bring out the true principle involved in a clearer and more definite shape. The ground of the decision in the court below was that the expenses were all incurred in furtherance of the common purpose of prosecuting the adventure. This is no doubt true in one sense, but there is a possibility of a confusion of idea on the subject which, though perhaps not created by the judgment in the

court below, is not altogether removed by its language. Expressions have been used in the cases from which it might appear that the real question is whether, when the ship is safe in port and the goods, therefore, are no longer in danger of being lost at sea, the carrying on of the goods on the same ship is necessary for the profitable prosecution of the venture so far as they are concerned. It has been argued—in fact, that is the main argument for the cargo owner—that when once the goods are in port they are safe, and consequently no further expenses incurred can be incurred in respect of a peril in which they share. But the answer suggests itself that if, on their being safe in port or landed, there is no means of profitably disposing of them, except by carrying them on in the same ship, they are not safe in the practical sense of the term. No one would say that the venture of the goods owner was safe in any practical sense of the term, if the goods were at a place where they could not profitably be sold, and whence there was no means of transit to any place where they could be so sold. We had supposed from the language of the judgment of the Chief Justice that these considerations were material to the view taken in the court below. It is over and over again urged in the judgment that the expenses of resuming the voyage were incurred for the benefit of the cargo as well as the ship, and in furtherance of the common purpose. We must confess that we thought when making our former observations on this case that the meaning of this was that the expenses were general average because it was to be presumed that the resumption of the voyage was as much in the interest of the cargo as of the ship. We doubt now, on considering the case by the light of what took place in the Court of Appeal, whether this was so. We think now that the meaning may have been that the putting into the port of refuge, being for the common interest, all the expenses that necessarily followed ought to be considered as incurred in the common interest.

However this may be, it seems to us that the judgment of the Court of Appeal goes on a ground to which the question whether it was for the cargo owner's interest that the voyage should be resumed is quite immaterial. Whether it was the interest of the cargo owner that his goods should be carried on in the same ship from the port of refuge or not—assuming even that they could have been carried on by another ship at half the rate of freight—still the expenses of re-shipment, &c., would be general average. The principle is that all the consequences of a general average sacrifice are general average. The policy of the law relating to general average is clearly this. In order to encourage the sacrifice of a part, that the whole venture may be saved, the law gives the owner of the part sacrificed compensation. If the owner of the ship had no compensation for the cutting away of his topmast, he might be tempted to hold on to the risk of the whole venture. If he knew that the owner of goods jettisoned must bear the whole loss, he might feel some compunction at throwing it upon him, or unwillingness to offend him, and might endeavour to ride through the storm without the sacrifice, thus unduly risking the whole venture. It necessarily follows from this that, if the expenses of entering the port of refuge are general average, the expenses of leaving it must be. The shipowner is entitled to carry on the goods to the port of destination, and receive freight for so doing. If the result of his going into the port of refuge for repairs were that he must unship part of the goods, and the expense of re-shipment were thrown on those particular goods, and the pilotage and other charges of coming out of port were thrown on the freight, he might be tempted to say, I will not diminish my profits by incurring these charges, or I will not saddle the owner of the goods which would need unshipment with these charges. I will try to accomplish the voyage without bearing up for a port of refuge.

Both the Court of Appeal and the Queen's Bench Division discussed the authorities at considerable

length. This we have no intention of doing. There seems to have been some little difficulty in entirely reconciling all that has been said on the subject, but in the end the court came to the conclusion that there was no decision that prevented their following the course plainly pointed out by logical principles. We are very glad that this has been the result, the more so, as Lord Justice Thesiger says, because the law of England is thus placed on a footing which more nearly assimilates it, in matters in which assimilation is desirable, to the law obtaining in other mercantile and maritime communities.

## Recent Decisions.

### LIABILITY OF TRUSTEE IN BANKRUPTCY IN RESPECT OF THE BANKRUPT'S LEASE-HOLDS.

(*Wilson v. Wallani*, Ex. D., [Stephen J.] 28 W. R. 597).

This case decides a new point of considerable practical importance. According to *Ex parte Dressler*, *In re Solomon* (27 W. R. 144, L. R. 9 Ch. D. 252), a trustee in bankruptcy who has taken possession of the bankrupt's leaseholds, and does not disclaim when called upon to do so, becomes personally liable for the rent and upon the covenants of the lease. This decision was founded upon the principle (originally laid down in *Ex parte Davis*, *In re Sneezum*, 25 W. R. 49, L. R. 3 Ch. D. 463) that the bankruptcy law, except in so far as it has been expressly altered by the Act of 1869, remains as it was before the passing of that Act. The taking possession of the leaseholds amounted, under the former law, to an election to take the lease, rendering the assignee liable for rent and upon the covenants of the lease, and the Court of Appeal were of opinion that there was nothing in the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, to alter this rule.

In the present case the trustee in bankruptcy had not (so Stephen, J., held) done anything which would have amounted to an election to take the lease under the old law, and had not disclaimed. Was he personally liable under the covenants of the lease? Applying the principle laid down in the cases mentioned above, Stephen, J., examined the Act of 1869 to see whether it contained anything to alter the earlier law under which an election by the assignee was necessary in order to render him liable, and he came to the conclusion that this rule was altered and that an election to take the lease is no longer a condition precedent to the liability of the trustee upon the covenants of the lease. His reasons for this decision are these. The Act of 1869 vests the leaseholds absolutely in the trustee subject to his right to disclaim; it repeals the provision of the Act of 1849 relating to election by the assignee, and neither expressly gives nor recognizes any such right in the trustee in bankruptcy. "It thus appears to me," the learned judge concluded, "that the power of election conferred by the Act of 1849 being repealed by the express words of the Act of 1869, and the estate being vested in the trustee by the express words of the Act of 1869, he has no power to get rid of it except by following the express words of section 23. . . . Upon the whole I hold that the lease was vested in the trustees on their appointment, and that they are personally liable upon the covenants, unless they make a valid disclaimer. I think *Ex parte Dressler* is an express authority as to their personal liability, assuming the lease to be vested in them absolutely."

With much deference we venture to question the correctness of this last statement. The ground of the decision in *Ex parte Dressler* was not simply that the leaseholds were vested in the trustees absolutely, but that under the old law an assignee who took possession of the bankrupt's leaseholds thereby elected, and upon such election became personally liable for the rent, and that there was nothing in the Act of 1869 to alter this rule. In

other words, the Court of Appeal held that, notwithstanding the Act of 1869, the old law as to election applied to charge the trustee who had elected with liability upon the covenants; does not this imply that the same law still exists to discharge from liability a trustee who was not elected? If Stephen, J. is right in his view, the question as to the effect of the taking possession by the trustee need not have been discussed in *Ex parte Dressler*; not having disclaimed he was liable for rent and upon the covenants of the lease.

## General Correspondence.

### MARRIAGE SETTLEMENT COSTS.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—Our client, A. B., had a daughter, C. D., who at the age of twenty-six became engaged to marry E. F. C. D. had no property of her own, E. F. having only a small estate, expectant on the decease of his parents. A. B. instructed us to appoint to C. D. part of a portion fund, charged on his settled estate, and to have it settled on the lady and her husband and their children in the usual way. He also instructed us as to the settlements which he required to be made by the gentleman upon his daughter. The settlements were consequently prepared [E. F. having a separate solicitor], executed by the father and the lady and gentleman, and the latter were subsequently married in 1878.

Our costs in connection with the settlement were in due time forwarded to the solicitor of E. F. for approval and payment. The solicitor has no objection to the account, but E. F. does not pay, and we shall probably have to enforce payment, but against whom? In our experience, which is a long one, we have never had to enforce payment of marriage settlement costs, though we have been always under the impression that they were recoverable from the gentleman.

Under the Married Women's Property Act, 1870, Amendment Act, 1874, the husband and wife may be jointly sued for a debt of the wife contracted before marriage, and if this is not a debt due from her it would not seem that the husband is liable at all. Our instructions were received from the father, but so they are in ninety-nine out of every hundred settlements, where the father is alive, and the daughter is young or has no estate of her own. Can he be considered as agent for the daughter, or has she by her execution of the settlements under which she derives benefit adopted all her father's acts leading to the settlements, or is the father liable as having given instructions? These are points on which if your readers can throw light we shall be obliged.

May 20.

X. & Co.

## New Orders, Etc.

### RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT.

MAY, 1880.

1. These rules may be cited as the "Rules of the Supreme Court, May, 1880," or each separate rule may be cited as if it had been one of the Rules of the Supreme Court, and had been numbered by the number of the order and rule mentioned in the margin.

2. These rules shall come into operation on the 15th day of May, 1880.

ORDER XXXV.

District Registries.

3. Ord. 35, r. 16.—(a.) So much of Order XXXVII., Rule 3d., as requires affidavits to be filed in the Central Office shall not apply to affidavits required to be filed in a district registry.

(b.) Order LIV., Rules 7 to 14, both inclusive, shall not apply to proceedings in district registries.

(c.) The forms contained in the schedule to the Rules of the Supreme Court, April, 1880, may, as far as they are applicable, be used in or for the purposes of district registries, with such variations as circumstances require.

#### ORDER LIV.

##### *Applications at Chambers.*

4. *Ord. 54, r. 10a.*—Order LIV., Rule 10, shall have effect as if the words "and summonses to be attended by counsel shall not be returnable before two in the afternoon" were omitted therefrom.

#### ORDER LXa.

##### *Central Office.*

5 *Ord. 60a, r. 8a.*—Order LXa., Rule 8, is hereby annulled, and the following shall stand in lieu thereof:

48. The Clerk of Enrolments and each of the following Registrars, namely—

The Registrar of Bills of Sale,

The Registrar of Certificates of Acknowledgments of Deeds by Married Women, and

The Registrar of Judgments,

shall, on a request in writing giving sufficient particulars, and on payment of the prescribed fee, cause a search to be made in the registers or indexes under his custody, and issue a certificate of the result of the search.

#### ORDER LXI.

##### *Sittings and Vacations.*

6. *Ord. 61, r. 4d.*—The office of the District Registry at Manchester shall not be open in any year on the five days next following Whit-Monday.

Save as hereby varied, the Rules of the Supreme Court, December, 1879, and the Rules of the Supreme Court, April, 1880, shall be in force.

SELBORNE, C.  
A. E. COCKBURN.  
G. JESSEL, M.R.  
FITZROY KELLY, L.C.B.  
ROBT. LUSH.  
C. E. POLLOCK.  
NATHL. LINDLEY.  
H. MANISTY.

#### SOLICITORS ACT, 1877.

According to the terms of an order issued on the 1st of this month under the Solicitors Act, 1877, by the Lord Chief Justice of England, the Master of the Rolls, the Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, and the Lord Chief Baron of the Exchequer, the Moderations examination of St. David's College, Lampeter, will be taken as equivalent to the preliminary examination required by the Solicitors Act; and any student who has passed Moderations at St. David's College may be admitted a solicitor after four years' service under articles of clerkship.

"C. T. B." writes on the subject of the re-sealing of probates:—"I have just had a case of a testator whose will was proved in the Principal Registry in England, and who held stock in three public companies in Ireland. Before these companies would register the English probate in their books, and, therefore, before the property could be dealt with, it became necessary to get the probate re-sealed in the Principal Registry in Ireland, and this at a cost of something like £25. I had to furnish proof by affidavit of the testator's English and Irish personal property (of Scotch, he had none), distinguishing each separately, and this after I had passed the testator's residuary account at Somerset-house and paid all the duties! It would reflect credit upon any Government to get rid of this monstrous anomaly; meanwhile it should be a caution to investors who have a knowledge of the above facts how they make investments in other parts of the United Kingdom than that in which they actually reside.

## Cases of last Week.

VENDOR AND PURCHASER.—STOPPAGE IN TRANSIT.—END OF TRANSIT.—CONSTRUCTIVE DELIVERY.—SUB-SALE.—UNPAID PURCHASE-MONEY OF SUB-PURCHASER.—RIGHTS OF ORIGINAL VENDOR.—In a case of *Ex parte Falk*, before the Court of Appeal on the 13th inst., a question arose as to the right of stoppage in transitu. While goods were on their voyage from Liverpool to Calcutta, in a ship chartered by the purchaser, his agent at Calcutta sold them to a sub-purchaser there. Before the ship arrived at Calcutta the purchaser filed a liquidation petition. The purchase-money had not been paid, and the vendor's agent at Calcutta (by the vendor's instructions sent by telegraph) served notice of stoppage in transitu on the master of the ship. Part of the cargo had then been delivered to the sub-purchaser, who had obtained a delivery order in exchange for the bill of lading. The sub-purchaser's purchase-money had not been paid to the purchaser, and the freight for the goods had not been paid to the shipowner. In this state of things the court (JAMES, BAGGALLAY, and BRAMWELL, L.JJ.) held, as was held in *Ex parte Cooper* (23 SOLICITORS' JOURNAL, 336, 27 W. R. 518, L. R. 11 Ch. D. 68), that, as the shipowners had not lost their lien for freight on the undelivered part of the cargo, they still held that part as carriers, and that consequently there had not been a constructive delivery of the whole cargo, and the transit was not at an end when the notice to stop was given. The notice, therefore, if there had been no sub-sale, would have been given in good time as between the original vendor and the original purchaser. And, though the result of the sub-sale was that the original vendor could not stop the goods, to which the sub-purchaser had acquired a right, yet, in accordance with the recent decision in *Ex parte Davis* (ante, p. 307, 28 W. R. 481, L. R. 13 Ch. D. 628), the original vendor had an equitable right to intercept the unpaid purchase money of the sub-purchaser. Another point in the case arose thus:—The vendor in the first instance (before telegraphing to his agent in Calcutta) gave notice of stoppage in transitu to the owner of the ship in England, and it was urged that this was a sufficient notice, and that it was the duty of the shipowner to communicate the notice by telegraph to the master. BRAMWELL, L.J., expressed an opinion that no effectual notice of stoppage in transitu could be given to any one but the master of the ship, who had the actual custody of the goods, and that there was no duty upon the shipowner to communicate to the master the notice which he had received. His lordship thought it would be monstrous to hold that telling someone else to stop goods in transitu amounted to a stoppage in transitu. Leave was given to appeal to the House of Lords, on the ground that *Ex parte Davis* amounted to a novel extension of the doctrine of stoppage in transitu. —SOLICITORS, Field, Roscoe, & Co.; Ashurst, Morris, & Co.

APPEAL IN BANKRUPTCY.—LOCUS STANDI.—"PERSON AGGRIEVED"—REFUSAL OF COURT TO ACT ON COMPTROLLER'S REPORT AGAINST TRUSTEE IN BANKRUPTCY.—BANKRUPTCY ACT, 1869, ss. 20, 48, 57, 71.—BANKRUPTCY RULES, 1870, r. 251.—In a case of *Ex parte Sidebotham*, before the Court of Appeal on the 14th inst., a question arose as to the right of appeal from the refusal of the judge of a county court to act upon a report made to him by the Comptroller in Bankruptcy that the estate of a bankrupt had lost a large sum of money by the misfeasance, neglect, or omission of the trustee. The comptroller had required the trustee to make good the money to the estate, and he had failed to comply with the requisition. The matter was then brought before the judge, who declined to make any order. The comptroller did not appeal from this refusal, but the bankrupt appealed to the Chief Judge, alleging that he was a "person aggrieved" by the refusal. The Chief Judge held that he had no *locus standi* to appeal, and this decision was affirmed by the Court of Appeal (JAMES, BAGGALLAY, and BRAMWELL, L.JJ.). It was urged that the bankrupt was "aggrieved" by the decision, because he had an interest in swelling the amount of his assets, so that a dividend of not less than ten shillings in the pound might be paid to his creditors, and he might be able to obtain his discharge. And reliance was placed on *Ex parte Ditton* (23 SOLICITORS' JOURNAL, 337, 27 W. R. 401, L. R. 11 Ch. D. 56), as showing that a creditor of the bankrupt was entitled to appeal from such a refusal. JAMES, L.J., said that by the proceed-



ing of the comptroller, nothing in the nature of a *litis contestatio* had been raised between him and the trustee. If the court made an order on the comptroller's report it would be acting *ex mero motu*. There would be nothing like a lawsuit between the comptroller and the trustee. Of course, the trustee would be entitled to appeal if an order was made against him which he thought unjust. But when the judge had (rightly or wrongly) come to the conclusion that no order ought to be made, there ought not to be any appeal from his decision. The expression "person aggrieved" did not mean a person who was disappointed of a benefit which he might have received if an order had been made different from that which was made; it meant a person who, by reason of the order, had suffered some grievance or injury to his property or otherwise. On this principle, in *Ex parte Ellis* (L. R. 2 Ch. D. 797), the grantee of a bill of sale was allowed to appeal against an adjudication of bankruptcy against the grantor, founded upon the execution of the bill of sale as an act of bankruptcy; and in *Ex parte Walter* (24 W. R. 834, L. R. 2 Ch. D. 326), it was held that creditors who had not been heard before the registrar on an application for the registration of liquidation resolutions, or before the Chief Judge on an application to cancel the registration, had a *locus standi* to appeal from the Chief Judge's order. In the first case the adjudication destroyed the title of the bill of sale holder; in the second case the registration or non-registration of the resolutions affected all the creditors equally. In the present case no one was prejudiced by the order of the county court judge, except in so far as he had lost something which he might have received if a different order had been made. But there was nothing in the order to embarrass him in any proceedings he might desire to take against the trustee. If the trustee had been guilty of any misfeasance, the bankrupt or any of the creditors had a right under section 20 to proceed against him, not because the comptroller had made a report to the court, but was entitled to make out a case of his own against the trustee, and if he applied in that way to the court he would be in the position of a litigant, and could appeal from the order made by the court if he was dissatisfied with it. BRAMWELL, L.J., said that the bankrupt was not entitled to appeal, not so much because he was under a personal disability, as because no one but the comptroller was entitled to appeal. And his lordship said that he came to this conclusion the more readily because, under the provisions of section 20, there could be a *litis contestatio* between the trustee and the bankrupt or any of the creditors. JAMES, L.J., added that *Ex parte Ditton* was not a decision that a creditor could appeal from the refusal of the judge to act on the report of the comptroller. That point was not fully argued then, the respondent's counsel having been stopped by the court. The decision was only this, that a person who said he was a creditor, but who had not proved any debt in the bankruptcy, was not entitled to appeal.—SOLICITORS, *Le Richs & Son; Phelps, Sidgwick, & Biddle*.

**WILL—CONSTRUCTION—SUBSTITUTION—DEATH OF LEGATEE BEFORE DATE OF WILL.**—In a case of *Leigh v. Patten*, before the Court of Appeal on the 14th inst., the question arose whether a gift in a will to a class of legatees or their children, if they were dead, applied to the children of one of the legatees who was dead at the date of the will. The testator gave to his wife a life interest in all his property, real and personal, and after her death he directed that the residue should be sold and the proceeds divided into thirds. And he gave one-third to "the brothers of my said wife or the children of the same, if they be dead when this portion of my will comes into force, they only taking the share which would have been their parents' portion had they been living at the decease of my wife." The wife survived the testator. She had four brothers. One of them died before the date of the will. Two died after the testator, but before the wife. The fourth survived the wife. Each of the three who died before the wife left children who were living at her death. Hall, V.C., held that the brother who survived, and the children of the three who were dead, were entitled to a third of the residue. The Court of Appeal (JAMES, BAGGALLAY, and BRAMWELL, L.J.J.) held that the case was governed by *Christopherson v. Naylor* (1 Mer. 320), and that the children of the brother who had died before the date of the will were not entitled to participate.—SOLICITORS, *Hughes & Son; Gregory, Rowcliffes, & Rawle*.

**WILL—DEVISE ON TRUST FOR SALE—SALE BY CUSTOMARY HEIR OF SURVIVING TRUSTEE.**—In a case of *In re Morton and Hallett*, before the Court of Appeal on the 14th inst., the question arose, upon a summons under the Vendor and Purchaser Act, whether the person who had purported to sell real estate under a trust for sale could make a good title to it. A testator devised all his freehold and copyhold estates to W. and M. and their heirs, to hold to them and their heirs, upon trust that they, his said trustees, or the trustees or trustee for the time being of that his will, should permit his wife to receive the rents for her life, and immediately after her death, upon trust that they, his said trustees, or the trustees or trustee for the time being of that his will, should sell the said freehold and copyhold hereditaments, and should stand possessed of the proceeds of sale upon certain trusts. And he empowered his said trustees, or the trustees or trustee for the time being of his will, to give receipts for all moneys arising from the sale of his real estate. The will contained a power of appointing new trustees in certain events, the power being given to "the said trustees or trustee." The persons appointed by the will as trustees were also appointed executors and trustees of the personal estate. After the death of the tenant for life the customary heir of the surviving trustee, who had been admitted to the copyholds, consented to sell a part of them. The purchaser objected that he could not make a good title. Jessel, M.R., held that he could, and this decision was affirmed by the Court of Appeal (JAMES, BAGGALLAY, and BRAMWELL, L.J.J.). In the course of the argument the recent case of *Osborne v. Rowlett* (23 W. R. 365, L. R. 13 Ch. D. 774, ante, p. 271) was referred to. In that case, it will be remembered, Jessel, M.R., expressed his dissent from the decision in *Cooke v. Crawford* (13 Sim. 91), and refused to follow it. In the present case both JAMES, L.J., and BAGGALLAY, L.J., intimated an opinion that *Cooke v. Crawford* could not be considered as overruled.—SOLICITORS, *Tamplin, Taylor & Joseph; Marsden, & Son*.

## Societies.

### SOLICITORS' BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION.

The usual monthly meeting of the board of directors of this association was held at the Law Institution, London, on Wednesday, May 12, Mr. Sidney Smith in the chair, the other directors present being Messrs. Asker (Norwich), Brook, Hedger, Kays, Roscoe, Rose, Styan, Valey (Chelmsford), and Woolbert (Mr. Eiffe, secretary). A sum of £250 was distributed in grants of relief among eleven necessitous families of solicitors, thirty-four new members were added to the association, and other general business transacted.

### THE SOVEREIGN LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY.

The annual general meeting of the above company was held on the 5th inst., at the offices in St. James's-street; Sir James Carmichael, Bart. (the chairman of the board of directors), occupying the chair.

The report and statement of accounts submitted to the meeting stated that up to the close of the year the board had issued 415 policies for £168,985, yielding a new premium income of £5,493 2s. 11d. The present year exhibited a very marked increase in the new business. The unusual amount paid within the last year or two for the surrender of policies from inability to maintain them, whilst it had affected the premium income, had relieved the office of considerable liability. The dividend at the same rate as before—5½ per cent. on the original capital—was recommended by the directors.

The CHAIRMAN said that, notwithstanding the depression which existed last year, they had received 511 proposals for insurance, amounting to no less than £266,290, and that out of those proposals the office accepted 415, which insured the sum of £168,985, and policies were issued to that amount, which produced a new premium income of nearly £5,500. Since the beginning of the present year the income from new policies was nearly double that of the corresponding period of 1879; but claims had been rather heavier than usual,

which might be accounted for by the death of several gentlemen who were insured in nearly every office in London. On the other hand, however, the expenses had been somewhat less than during the previous year. With regard to the change of offices from St. James's-street to Cannon-street, and putting all the business under one roof—they began in 1845 as an entirely West-end office, and for many years had prospered in that shape; they then acquired a City business, and for a considerable number of years had had City and West-end offices. Now they happened to have become possessed of a valuable block of business premises in the City, and thought that it would be well to occupy that block and concentrate their City and West-end business. Perhaps it might be said that the West-end business would be to a certain extent damaged, but the directors thought not, and, for the last few years, they had been gradually increasing the City business and decreasing that of the West-end. They would save considerably by putting all the business under one roof, and would have a very valuable freehold property in St. James's-street to dispose of. Taking all those things together they would probably increase their income very considerably by adopting the course proposed. It was hoped that in the course of two or three weeks the sexennial valuation report of the actuary would be ready, when it should be at once printed and circulated amongst the proprietors. He moved the adoption of the report and statement of accounts.

Colonel BATHURST seconded the motion, which was carried unanimously.

Mr. ALEXANDER EVORS moved that a dividend of 5½ per cent. on the original capital be declared and paid.

This was seconded by Mr. JAMES MILES, and carried.

Resolutions were also adopted re-electing the retiring director and auditors, and awarding votes of thanks to the directors and officers of the company.

## Law Students' Journal.

### LAW STUDENTS' DEBATING SOCIETY.

The weekly meeting of this society was held at the Law Institution, Chancery-lane, on Tuesday evening last, Mr. Lloyd Jones in the chair. The question appointed for the evening's debate, was the following:—"Should the education of barristers and solicitors in the theory of the law be conducted in common?" and was opened in the negative by Mr. Collier. After a discussion in which several gentlemen took part, the question was put to the meeting, and decided in the affirmative by a majority of two votes.

### UNITED LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETY.

A meeting of the above society was held at Clement's-inn Hall on the evening of Wednesday last, when Mr. R. Gwynne Templer opened in the affirmative the subject for debate—viz., "That the franchise has been undesirably extended," and was supported by Messrs. T. Mott-Whitehouse, E. F. Spence, and D.A. B. Collyer; whilst Messrs. T. B. Napier, N. F. Synnot, P. Pain, H. Lawless, and E. H. Pickersgill, opposed. Mr. Templer having replied, and the chairman (Mr. C. Kains-Jackson) summed up, the motion was put to the vote and carried by a majority of one.

### BIRMINGHAM LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETY.

The mock trial held on Thursday at the Midland Institute, in connection with this society, formed an agreeable break in the ordinary routine work of the society, and, as usual, attracted a large audience, a large proportion being ladies. The case chosen for hearing was one in which Patrick O'Flinn, who was personated by Mr. Jevons, was indicted for having on the 1st of April, 1890, at the borough of Aylesbury, committed an aggravated assault upon one Adolphus Ellis, which character was taken by Mr. Lane. Mr. Hugo J. Young, of the Oxford Circuit, was the judge, and twelve members of the society were sworn in as the jury. The office of clerk to the court was undertaken by Mr. Bayley. The counsel for the prosecution were Messrs. F. Harvey Samuel and Cecil Crosskey (instructed by Messrs. Ludlow & Pope); whilst the prisoner was represented by Messrs. W. Showell Rogers and C. J. Edwards (instructed by Messrs. Davis & Smith). Evidence as to the assault was

given by Police-constable Catchem (Mr. L. Crosskey) and Mr. Jackett Westcott (Mr. Mason); and rebutting evidence was given by Mr. George Lancel (Mr. Barrows), Mr. Thomas Ballance (Mr. Nevill), and Dr. Octavius Pillsford (Mr. Gover). The case, as opened by the counsel for the prosecution, and supported by the prosecutor and his two witnesses, was that on the day in question he presided over a meeting held at the Vine and Cypress, in support of the Conservative candidates, Sir Bartholomew Bung and Colonel Broadword. The meeting, which was very largely attended, was a noisy one, and it was impossible for the chairman or the candidates to obtain a hearing. In the middle of the room was a knot of persons who did their utmost to break the meeting up, and the prisoner, it was said, was the ringleader. After vain attempts to restore order, Police-constable Catchem was called in to eject the prisoner, and it was alleged that while doing so prisoner said, "I'll do for Dolly," that being the name the prosecutor was familiarly known by. The meeting afterwards broke up in confusion; the chairman and candidates were hustled a great deal, and outside were received with a volley of rotten eggs, dead cats, and sundry other disagreeable things. Prisoner was identified as having, with unerring aim, sent a rotten egg between the prosecutor's eyes, and also as having followed this up by striking him on the head with a shillelagh, and rendering him partially unconscious. It was admitted for the defence that the meeting was a turbulent one, though it was denied that the prisoner, who was said to be a member of the Society of Friends, had taken any part in disturbing the proceedings. A denial was given to his having used the words "I'll do for Dolly"; and it was urged that the words were "I never saw such folly." When outside a person leaned over the prisoner and struck the prosecutor with a cudgel, and having done this ran away, leaving the cudgel on the ground. Prisoner picked it up, and prosecutor, seeing him with it, accused him of the assault and gave him into custody. These facts having been corroborated by witnesses, the judge summed up, and explained the law to the jury, who, having consulted together for a few moments, returned a verdict of not guilty, and the prisoner was acquitted. The characters were admirably sustained, and the humorous points in the case were well brought out by the witnesses and the counsel.

At a meeting of this society held on Tuesday, May 18, in the Law Library, Mr. C. E. Mathews in the chair, a debate took place upon the following moot point:—"Would the judgment of the Court of Appeal in *Debenham v. Mellor*—which decided that the presumption of the wife's authority to pledge her husband's credit for necessities suitable to her station, may be rebutted by showing that he had forbidden her to do so, although the tradesman had no notice of the fact—be sustained on appeal to the House of Lords. If so, can the law be deemed satisfactory?"—*Manby v. Scott* (2 Smith's L. C., 7th ed., p. 434, see also p. 480, and cases cited); *Jolly v. Rees* (15 C. B. N. S. 628, 10 L. T. N. S. 298); *Debenham v. Mellor* (28 W. R. 501). The speakers on the affirmative were Messrs. Pritchett, Steere, Davis, Barber, and Samuel, and on the negative, Messrs. Crosskey, Gover, Platnauer, Ludlow, Rogers, and Barrows. After an able summing up by the chairman the question was put to the meeting and carried in the affirmative. A vote of thanks to the chairman concluded the meeting.

It is stated that the Lord Advocate (Mr. M'Laren), the Dean of Faculty, the Solicitor-General for Scotland (Mr. Balfour), and Mr. J. H. A. Macdonald, late Solicitor-General for Scotland, have been appointed Queen's Counsel of the Scotch Bar.

Mr. Jones-Roberts, coroner for Anglesey, concluded an inquiry at Menai-bridge on Saturday as to the death of Mr. William Rowlands Parry, a solicitor practising at Bangor. On Thursday night he accompanied a friend to Pen Nebo, and left, perfectly sober, about one o'clock on Friday morning. He had barely got out of the gate on to the road when the noise of a fall was heard, and it was found that he had fallen over a dwarf wall into a field, a depth of about eight feet. He was picked up unconscious, and died about three hours afterwards from concussion of the brain. The jury returned a verdict of "Accidental death."



## Obituary.

### MR. JAMES BOOTH, C.B.

Mr. James Booth, barrister, C.B., many years one of the secretaries to the Board of Trade, died at 2, Princess-gardens, Kensington, on the 11th inst., at an advanced age. Mr. Booth was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in February, 1824, and was for several years in practice as an equity draftsman and conveyancer. In 1853 he acted as a member of the Royal Commission of Inquiry into Municipal Corporations, and he was shortly afterwards appointed Counsel to the Speaker and Examiner of Recognizances in the House of Commons. He obtained a high reputation as a parliamentary draftsman, and most of the Consolidation Acts of 1845 and 1847 were prepared by him. In 1850 he was appointed secretary to the Board of Trade, and held that office until 1865, when he retired upon a pension. In the following year he was created a Civil Companion of the Bath in recognition of his long and valuable public services. Mr. Booth was subsequently a member of the Royal Commission on Trades Unions. He had been for several years a widower, and leaves one son.

### MR. GEORGE FITZROY DEAN GACHES.

Mr. George Fitzroy Dean Gaches, solicitor, died at Peterborough on the 8th inst. after a very short illness. Mr. Gaches was the eldest son of Mr. William Daniel Gaches, solicitor, town clerk of Peterborough, and registrar of the Peterborough County Court. He was educated at the King's School, Peterborough, and was admitted a solicitor in 1870. He had been for several years clerk to Peterborough Improvement Commissioners, and ever since the incorporation of Peterborough had been a town councillor for the East Ward. Mr. Gaches held the rank of lieutenant in the 6th Northamptonshire Rifle Volunteers, and was a first-rate marksman, having won many prizes as representative of his corps at Wimbledon and elsewhere. He was devoted to athletic pursuits, being an active bicyclist and captain of the Peterborough Cricket Club. His early death has caused universal sorrow, and the funeral (which took place at the Peterborough Cemetery on the 12th inst.) was attended by many friends, including most of the officials of the corporation, and a body of the local volunteers.

### MR. WILLIAM HENRY DAVIES.

Mr. William Henry Davies, solicitor (of the firm of Davies & Davies), died at his residence, Ellenborough-park, Weston-super-Mare, on the 11th inst. Mr. Davies was the eldest son of the late Mr. Henry Davies, solicitor, of Weston-super-Mare, and was born in 1837. He was admitted a solicitor in 1862, and shortly afterwards went into partnership with his father, upon whose death he succeeded to the office of registrar of the Weston-super-Mare County Court (Circuit No. 59). More recently, his brother, Mr. Harry Finden Davies, had been associated with him. He was also clerk to the Weston-super-Mare Burial Board, and clerk and solicitor to the Gas Company. Mr. Davies was a leading member of the Masonic body in Somersetshire. His premature death has caused great regret in the neighbourhood.

### MR. HENRY KENT NORRIS.

Mr. Henry Kent Norris, solicitor (of the firm of Norris & Hancock), died recently at Devizes. Mr. Norris had practised for more than fifty years in Wiltshire. He originally settled at Warminster, but a few years later he removed to Devizes, where he carried on business until his death. He had been for some years in partnership with Mr. Frederick Sortain Hancock, of Bath. Mr. Norris was a perpetual commissioner for Wiltshire, and he had a good private practice. He had been for many years coroner for the borough of Devizes. He was also registrar of the Devizes County Court (Circuit No. 52), and clerk to the Devizes Highway Board.

### MR. RICHARD THOMAS COMBE.

Mr. Richard Thomas Combe, barrister, of Earnshill House, Ilminster, committed suicide by shooting himself with a revolver on the 12th inst. His wife (who was the daughter of General Sir John Michell, K.C.B.) had died a few days previously, after a long and painful illness, and the severe loss had brought on a condition of temporary insanity. The deceased was the second son of the late Mr. John Maddison, of Alvingham, Lincolnshire. He was born in 1813, and assumed the name of Combe by Royal licence in 1849. He was a graduate of University College, Oxford, and was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in Hilary Term, 1840, but he had for many years ceased to practise. Mr. Combe was a magistrate and deputy-lieutenant for Somersetshire, of which county he was high sheriff in 1867. He was very assiduous in attendance at the Ilminster Petty Sessions, and was chairman of the bench for that district. He was also recorder of the town of Langport. Mr. Combe leaves one son.

## Appointments, &c.

The SOLICITOR-GENERAL has received the honour of Knighthood.

Mr. WILLIAM PICTON EVANS, solicitor (of the firm of Jenkins & Evans), Cardigan, has been appointed a Magistrate for the Borough of Cardigan. Mr. Evans was admitted in the year 1861, and is clerk to the magistrates for a division in the county of Cardigan as well as for one in the county of Pembroke, and also holds the appointment of clerk to the Income Tax Commissioners for the division of Troedryawr, Cardiganshire, and for the hundred of Kernes, Pembrokeshire.

Lord EDMUND GEORGE PETTY FITZMAURICE, barrister, M.P., has been appointed a Member of the European Commission for the Organization of Eastern Roumelia. Lord E. Fitzmaurice is the second son of the fourth Marquis of Lansdowne, and was born in 1848. He was educated at Eton, and was formerly scholar of Trinity College, Cambridge, where he graduated in the first class of the classical tripos in 1868. He was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in Michaelmas Term, 1871, and he has been M.P. for Calne in the Liberal interest since 1868.

Mr. ARNOLD HESELTINE, solicitor (of the firm of Blake & Heselstine), of 4, Serjeants'-inn, Fleet-street, has been appointed a Commissioner to administer Oaths in the Supreme Court of Judicature.

Mr. PERCY JOHN HIBBERT, barrister, has been appointed Private Secretary to his father, Mr. John Tomlinson Hibbert, M.P., parliamentary secretary to the Local Government Board. Mr. P. J. Hibbert is a graduate of St. John's College, Cambridge, and was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in May, 1878.

Mr. JAMES HENRY INGLEDREW, solicitor and notary (of the firm of Ingledew & Daggett), of Newcastle-upon-Tyne and North Shields, has been appointed Junior Registrar of the Newcastle County Court (Circuit No. 1), to act jointly with his partner, Mr. William Daggett, on the resignation of the senior registrar, Mr. William Brook Mortimer. Mr. Ingledew was admitted a solicitor in 1856, and has been for several years registrar of the North Shields County Court.

The Right Hon. ROBERT LOWE, barrister, M.P., has been raised to the Peerage with the title of Viscount Sherbrook. The new peer is the son of the Rev. Robert Lowe, Rector of Bingham, Nottinghamshire, and was born in 1811. He was educated at Winchester, and at University College, Oxford, where he graduated first class in classics and second class in mathematics in 1833. He was afterwards elected a Fellow of Magdalen College, Cambridge, and was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in Hilary Term, 1842. He shortly afterwards went to Australia, and practised at the bar at Sydney. He was also for several years a member of the House of Assembly of New South Wales. He represented Kidderminster in the Liberal interest from 1852 till 1859, and Calne from 1859 till 1868, when he was elected M.P. for the University of London. He was Secretary to the Board of Control from 1852 till

1855; Vice-President of the Board of Trade and Paymaster-General from 1855 till 1858; Vice-President of the Committee of Council on Education from 1859 till 1864; Chancellor of the Exchequer from December, 1868, till August, 1873; and Secretary of State for the Home Department from August, 1873, till February, 1874.

Mr. ALEXANDER GRANT MECK, solicitor, of Devizes, has been appointed Registrar of the Devizes County Court (Circuit No. 52), in succession to Mr. Henry Kent Norris, deceased. Mr. Meck is the son of Mr. Alexander Meck, solicitor, of Devizes, and he is a graduate of Magdalen College, Oxford. He was admitted a solicitor in 1869, and is town clerk of Devizes, county treasurer for Wiltshire, and clerk to the visiting justices of the county lunatic asylum. His partner, Mr. Joseph Jackson, is clerk to the county and borough magistrates, and to the Commissioners of Taxes.

Mr. JOHN NAISH, Q.C., has been appointed Law Adviser to the Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland. Mr. Naish is a member of the Munster Circuit, and was recently appointed a Queen's Counsel.

Mr. JOHN NALDER, solicitor, of Shepton Mallett, has been appointed Solicitor to the Shepton Mallett Local Board. Mr. Nalder was admitted a solicitor in 1865, and is also solicitor to the Shepton Mallett Permanent Building Society.

Mr. THURSTAN COLLINS PETER, solicitor, of Redruth, Penzance, and Truro, has been appointed Registrar of the Redruth County Court (Circuit No. 59). Mr. Peter was admitted a solicitor in 1878, and is clerk to the Redruth Board of Guardians.

#### DISSOLUTION OF PARTNERSHIP.

WILLIAM ERNEST FENN LACEY and HERBERT BAMFORD, solicitors, Wareham and Swanage, Dorset (Lacey & Bamford). May 1, 1880.

(Gazette, May 18, 1880.)

### Companies.

#### WINDING-UP NOTICES.

##### JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

###### LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

BRIGHTON LIVERY STABLES COMPANY, LIMITED.—Bacon, V.C., by an order dated July 30, appointed Francis Edward Street, Queen Victoria-street, to be official liquidator

PATENT STAR FIRE LIGHTER COMPANY, LIMITED.—Bacon, V.C., by an order dated August 22, appointed Francis Edward Street, Queen Victoria-street, to be official liquidator

TRAVELLERS' ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for winding up presented May 8, directed to be heard before the M.R. on May 29. Hanbury & Co. New Broad-street, solicitors for the petitioner

WIVELSCOMBE SLATE COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for winding up presented May 10, directed to be heard before Hall, V.C. on May 28. Newman & Co. Cornhill, solicitors for the petitioner

(Gazette, May 14.)

##### COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

STANDARD IRON AND STEEL COMPANY, LIMITED.—Creditors are required on or before June 15, to send their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims to William Ashworth, 101, King-street, Manchester. June 24 at 11, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims

(Gazette, May 14.)

CARNARVON PAVILION COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for winding up presented May 10, directed to be heard before the M.R. on May 29. Coote, Currier-street, agent for Cowl, Liverpool, solicitor for the petitioner

GREAT EASTERN GLACIARIUM COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for winding up presented May 12, directed to be heard before the M.R. on May 29. Duncan & Co. Bloomsbury-square, solicitors for the petitioner

JEFFREYSON ANTHRACITE COAL COMPANY, LIMITED.—Creditors are required on or before June 17, to send their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims to Charles Allen, 10, Norton, Tenby, Pembroke. June 30 at 12, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims

LEISURE COMBOLS SILVER LEAD MINING COMPANY, LIMITED.—Creditors are required on or before June 10, to send their names and the particulars of their debts or claims to John Henry Tilly, 37, Queen Victoria-street. June 17 at 12, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims

MERCANTILE AND EXCHANGE CLUB, LIMITED.—The M.R. has fixed May 27 at 12 at his chambers, for the appointment of an official liquidator YORKSHIRE BREWERY COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for winding up presented May 11, directed to be heard before Malins, V.C. on May 28. Heritage & Co. St. Clement's House, Clement's-lane, solicitors for the petitioner

##### UNLIMITED IN CHANCERY.

SPURN VIEW BUILDING SOCIETY.—Creditors are required on or before June 14, to send their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims to James Edward Garvey (Great Grimsby). June 21 at 12, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims

(Gazette, May 18.)

##### FRIENDLY SOCIETIES DISSOLVED.

DOYNTON FRIENDLY SOCIETY, Three Horseshoe Inn, Doynton, May 11

STAR OF HOPE LODGE, I.O.O.F.M.U., Odd Fellows' Inn, Hobden, York, May 8

(Gazette, May 14.)

BLACK HORSE BENEFIT SOCIETY, Black Horse, St. George, Doynton. May 13

CHIPPING FEMALE FRIENDLY SOCIETY, Sun Inn, Chipping, Lancaster. May 13

FRIENDLY UNION SOCIETY, King's Head Inn, Coltishall, Norfolk. May 13

MIDDLE FRIENDLY AND BENEFIT SOCIETY, Schoolroom, Middle, Salop. May 15

(Gazette, May 18.)

### Legislation of the Week.

#### May 20.—QUEEN'S SPEECH.

The following are the parts of the Queen's Speech relating to legislation:—

"The late season of the year at which you commence your labours will, I fear, seriously abridge the time available for useful legislation, but I make no doubt that you will studiously turn it to the best account.

"The Peace Preservation Act for Ireland expires on the 1st of June. You will not be asked to renew it. My desire to avoid the evils of exceptional legislation in abridgment of liberty would not induce me to forego in any degree the performance of the first duty of every Government in providing for the security of life and property. But, while determined to fulfil this sacred obligation, I am persuaded that the loyalty and good sense of my Irish subjects will justify me in relying on the provisions of the ordinary law, firmly administered, for the maintenance of peace and order.

"The provisions enacted before the dissolution of the late Parliament for the mitigation of distress in Ireland have been serviceable for that important end. The question of the sufficiency of the advances already authorized by Parliament is under my consideration.

"A measure will at an early day be submitted to you for putting an end to the controversies which have arisen with respect to burials in churchyards and cemeteries.

"It will be necessary to ask you to renew the Act for Secret Voting.

"Among the chief subjects which will be brought under your notice, as time may permit, will be Bills for giving more effectual protection to the occupiers of land against injury from ground game, for determining on a just principle the liabilities of employers for accidents sustained by workmen, and for the extension of the borough franchise in Ireland."

### Creditors' Claims.

#### CREDITORS UNDER ESTATES IN CHANCERY. LAST DAY OF PROOF.

COCKER, THOMAS FIELDS, Sheffield, Manufacturer. June 18. Cocker v Harding, V.C. Hall. Wightman, Sheffield

GILBERT, JOSEPH, Chalfont St. Peter's, Bucks, Gent. June 3. Gilbert v Gilbert, V.C. Hall. Jackson, Lincoln's inn fields

JONES, GABRIEL, Tŷnydd Gŷffyllog, Denbigh. May 31. Roberts v Jones, V.C. Malins. Jones, Denbigh

PEEL, WILLIAM YATE, Upper Gloucester pl, Dorset sq, Captain Scots Fusilier Guards. June 5. Peel v Peel, V.C. Malins. Mason, Grosvenor st

SCHNELL, WILLIAM, George st, Baker st, Upholsterer. June 5. Schnell v Ross, V.C. Malins. Collins, Farnival's inn

THWAITES, JOHN, Jan, St Lawrence, Appleby, Westmoreland. June 10. Salkeld v Armstrong, V.C. Hall. Shepherd, Appleby

WOOD, CHARLES, Horsham, Lighterman. May 31. Farnham v Wood

V.C. Malins. Miller, Moorgate st

WOOD, DAISY LOUISA, Augusta, Bath. June 1. Cumberland v

Stewart, M.R. Ford, South sq, Gray's inn

(Gazette, May 7.)

HERN, SARAH ANN, Corton, Wilts. June 14. Hern v Montague, M.R.

Montague, Bristol

SIMPSON, REV. THOMAS BURN, West Teignmouth, Devon. June 8.

Gibbings v Simpson, M.R. Witham, Gray's inn sq

(Gazette, May 11.)

BREWIS, SARAH, Shield st, Newcastle-upon-Tyne. June 11. Carr v Mathewson, M.R. Dagger, Newcastle-upon-Tyne.  
 FARRAR, JOHN, Haddingley, nr Leeds, Innkeeper. June 11. Nicholls v Farrar, M.R. Gill, Knaresborough.  
 FERRIER, RICHARD, Boughton, nr Chester. June 14. Ferrier v Ferrier, V.C. Malins. Reynolds. Liverpool.  
 HYSLOP, JOHN, Dudley, Worcester, Wine Merchant. May 31. Capland v Millard, V.C. Bacon. Stokes, Dudley.  
 JONES, BENJAMIN, Tanyfymvut, Tregaron, Cardigan, Batcher. May 27. V.C. Bacon.  
 LANCASTER, CHARLES WILLIAM, New Bond st, Gun Maker. June 10. Carstairs v Lancaster, V.C. Hale. Gole, Lime st.  
 LISTER, THOMAS, Great Horton, nr Bradford, Mason. May 28. Smithies v Lister, District Registrar, Bradford. Gaunt, Bradford.  
 MAUD, JOHN GEORGE, Thornton Heath, Surrey, Gent. June 10. Porter v Maud, M.R. Kipping, Essex st, Strand.  
 MAYHEW, SYDNEY, Walbrook, Solicitor. June 14. French v Mayhew, V.C. Bacon. Blackford and Co, College hill, Cannon st.  
 PICKERING, WILLIAM, Chatham pl, Blackfriars, Contractor for Public Works. June 4. Pickering v Pickering, M.R. Rixon, Gresham House, Old Broad st.  
 [Gazette, May 14.]

### CREDITORS UNDER 22 & 23 VICT. CAP. 25. LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

ARCHBELL, ELIZABETH, Charles st, Bath. July 1. Bromet, Tadeister.  
 ARTHURSON, ELIZA, Southend, Essex. June 24. Wood and Son, Rochford.  
 BACON, Right Hon. LADY CHARLOTTE MARY, Stanhope pl, Hyde Pk. June 30. Hewlett, Essex st, Strand.  
 BREILLAT, EBENEZER, Bristol, Engineer. July 1. Hobbs and Sinnott, Bristol.  
 BUTTERWORTH, ELIZABETH, Manchester. June 19. Buckley and Matkinson, Oldham.  
 CAPPER, JASPER, Cloughton, Chester, Accountant. June 21. Martin, Liverpool.  
 CHAPMAN, JOHN, Woughton-on-the-Green, Buckingham, Farmer. June 19. Newton, Leighton Buzzard.  
 CHARLTON, THOMAS, Shelton, nr Shrewsbury, Gentleman. June 24. Pallin and Co, Shrewsbury.  
 COLLIER, FANNY, Beech Grove, nr Eccleshall, Stafford. June 10. Fisher and Hodges, Newport.  
 DEVONSHIRE, ELIZABETH, WARRICK, Alwington House, Devon. June 30. Smith and Paul, Truro.  
 DOBSON, WILLIAM CUTHBERT, North Shields, Brick Manufacturer. July 1. Laws, Glyn, and Ryot, Newcastle-upon-Tyne.  
 EASTMAN, PETER, Princes sq, St. George's in the East. May 31. Ingle, Cooper, and Holmes, Threadneedle st.  
 FISHER, WILLIAM, Upper Fore st, Edmonton. June 4. Proudfoot, John st, Bedford row.  
 FOX, MARY, St. George's terrace, Brighton. July 31. Moynaux, Sydney rd, Amburst rd, N.  
 FRAMPON, ANN, Frome, Somerset. July 31. Mesaiter, Frome.  
 GUTHRIE, CHARLES, Dover, Smith. May 31. Knocker, Dover.  
 HAMAND, SAMUEL, Stoke, Devon, Druggist. June 14. Faithfull and Owen, Victoria st, Westminster.  
 HARRISON, GEORGE, and ROGERS HARRISON, Kennington pk rd. June 24. White and Co, Whitehall pl, Westminster.  
 HARTLEY, ROBERT, Doncaster, Bookseller. June 19. Fisher, Doncaster.  
 HEWITT, MARY, Margate, Kent. July 6. Daniel, Ramsgate.  
 JAMES, JAMES, Hill n, Buckingham, Gentleman. June 7. Pyke and Parrott, Lincoln's-in-fields.  
 KNOWLES, MATTHEW, WHITELOCK, Stonycroft, nr Liverpool, Commission Agent. May 31. Evans and Lockett, Liverpool.  
 LINLEY, ROBERT, Sheffield, Scythe Manufacturer. June 24. Wake and Co, Sheffield.  
 SAUNDERS, ANN HELEN, Clifton, Bristol. July 3. Brittons, Livett, and Miller, Bristol.  
 SCOTT, JAMES, Everton, Lancaster. June 20. Whitley, Maddock, and Hampson, Liverpool.  
 STAMPER, WILLIAM, Morebath, Cumberland, Yeoman. June 5. Waugh and Musgrave, Cockermouth.  
 STOCKDALE, THOMAS, Sedburgh, York, Farmer. June 21. Picard, Kirby Lonsdale.  
 THOMPSON, WILLIAM, Sydenham, Kent, Gentleman. June 30. Redpath and Holdsworth, Bush lane.  
 TROTMAN, WILLIAM, Lower Norwood, Gentleman. June 30. Finch, Borough High st.  
 WHITEHEAD, CATHERINE, Litherland, nr Liverpool. June 1. Brabner and Court, Liverpool.  
 [Gazette, May 7.]

BRANTINGHAM, JOSHUA, Norton, Durham, Farmer. June 1. Newby, Richmond, and Watson, Stockton on Tees.  
 BRIDGE, SAMUEL, Radpole, Dorset, Gentleman. June 1. Howard, Weymouth.  
 BROUGH, MARY ANN, Aldersgate st. June 10. Neal, Pinner's hall, Broad st.  
 CODLIN, LAVINIA, Hants, Aldershot, Dealer in Fancy Goods. June 10. Syper and Son, Old Broad st.  
 CROMPTON, JOSEPH, Over Hulton, Lancaster, Innkeeper. June 9. Ryley and Haslam, B-Hon.  
 CUDDEFORD, ROBERT, Plymouth, Gentleman. Aug 9. Elworthy, Curtis, and Dawe, Plymouth.  
 EVANS, WILLIAM, Newport, Monmouth, Esq. June 14. Gibbs and Llewellyn Newport.  
 HARDY, EDWARD, Cabul, Afghanistan, a Lieutenant in Her Majesty's Royal Horse Artillery. June 19. Booty and Bayliffe, Raymond buildings, Gray's inn.  
 HILL, THOMAS, Baker st, Enfield, Builder. July 1. Adams Beck, Ironmongers' Hall.  
 HOGG, ANDREW, Carlisle, Hatter. May 27. Wannop, Carlisle.  
 HOLMES, SAMUEL, Leicester, Sinker Maker. June 18. Stevenson and Son, Leicester.  
 JONES, WILLIAM, Knotty Ash, nr Liverpool, Brewer. June 10. Tyrer, and Co, Liverpool.

KEMPSTER, ISAAC, Clifton, Bristol, Gentleman. June 1. Stanley, and Co, Bristol.  
 KNOWLES, FREDERICK, Wington, Somerset, Builder. June 13. Simmonds and Wood, Wington.  
 LINLEY, JOHN, Ecclesfield, York, Scho olmaster. June 28. Binney, and Co, Sheffield.  
 MCCONNOCHIE, JOHN, Leeds, Woollen Merchant. June 21. Bointon, Leeds.  
 MCSHANE, JOHN FADDEN, Great Tower st, Wine Shipper. May 31. Townsend, Rawtenstall, nr Manchester.  
 PARSONS, THOMAS, St. George, Gloucestershire, Gardener. June 1. Stanley and Co, Bristol.  
 PATERIDGE, JOHN, Bishop's Wood, Gloucester, Esq. July 1. Wintle and Co, Newnham.  
 FRANCE, EDWARD, Cambridge, Gloucester, Gentleman. June 17. Smith, Regent st, Cheltenham.  
 PIKE, MARTHA, Ford, nr Plymouth. Aug 7. Rundle, Devonport.  
 THOMAS, ROBERT EARP, Moseley, Worcester, Gentleman. June 3. Southall and Co, Birmingham.  
 TADDD, JOHN, Plums tead, Kent, Gentleman. June 24. Whale, Woolwich.  
 TYLER, JOHN, Leyton, Essex, Gentleman. June 10. Oxley, Crosby square.  
 WHALE, GEORGE, son, Woolwich, Draper. June 24. Oxley, Woolwich.  
 WILKES, CHRISTOPHER, Lytham, Lancaster, Retired Builder. July 1. Dickson and Dickson, Kirkham.  
 [Gazette, May 11.]

BACON, ROBERT, Green lanes, Tottenham, Gent. June 24. Keays, Charles st, St. James'.  
 BRANDON, HARRIET SARAH, Birmingham. June 24. Rooke, Birmingham.  
 CHALLIS, JOHN HENRY, Brixton. Sept 1. Parker and Co, St Michael's alley, Cornhill.  
 CRITCHLEY, HERBERT ARNOTT, Lewisham-terrace, Kent, Gent. June 24. Hilliers and Taylor, Fenchurch-bldgs.  
 DALE, ISABELLA, Gateshead, Durham. June 17. Elsdon, Newcastle-upon-Tyne.  
 DUDLEY, FRANCIS FREDERICK, Staines rd, Ashford, Gent. June 24. Smedley, Sergeant's-inn, Fleet st.  
 ENGLAND, MARY, Instow, Devon. June 5. Morgan and Co, Coleman st.  
 ELLIOTT, SUSAN, Sangley Lodge, Catford Bridge, Kent. June 15. Gibbon, Leadenhall st.  
 ELTON, JAMES FREDERICK, Mozambique, East Africa, Gent. June 16. Waters, Bristol.  
 FARRANCE, JAMES, Ewhurst, Sussex, Gent. July 15. Crutenden, Battle.  
 GLASS, WILLIAM, Deptford, Manufacturing Chemist. July 10. Mount, Gracechurch st.  
 GRAY, CHARLES WILLIAM, Gt St. Helen's, Bishopsgate st. Aug 1. Parker and Co, St Michael's-alley, Cornhill.  
 HARBOTTLE, GEORGE, Morpeth, Northumberland, Farmer. June 30. Chartres and Co, Newcastle-upon-Tyne.  
 HORTON, JAMES, Hugh st, Pimlico, Gent. June 24. Tatham and Eyre, Frederick pl, Old Jewry.  
 HUDSON, ELIZABETH, Upton-upon-Severn, Worcester. June 24. Coventry, Upton-upon-Severn.  
 HUTCHINGS, WILLIAM, Halifax, Gent. June 13. Hill, Halifax.  
 INGBSIDE, JOHN HENRY BAX, Burgholme Manor, Hants, Esq., C.B. June 12. Wood, Lincoln's-inn-fields.  
 LEONARD, CROSBY, Bristol, Surgeon. June 20. Harwood, Bristol.  
 LITTLE, JOHN, Finch lane, Cornhill, a Member of the Stock Exchange. June 24. Wingate, Angel ct, Throgmorton st.  
 MARS, GEORGE LAWRENCE, Hastings, Sussex, Gent. June 24. Child and Co, Bakehouse crt, Doctors'-commons.  
 MATTHEWS, JAMES, St Paul, Deptford, Surveyor. June 24. Pritchard and St. Paul, Gracechurch st.  
 MILLER, ELIZABETH, West Brixton, Surrey. June 24. Thatcher, Bennett's-hill, Doctors'-commons.  
 MOMBACH, ISRAEL LAZARUS, South pl, Finsbury, Musician. June 5. Harris and Godwin, Moorgate st.  
 NASH, AMBROSE EVANS, Rodland, Bristol, Solicitor. June 20. Harwood, Bristol.  
 NASH, JOSEPH, Brockley, Somerset, M.D. June 24. Dauncey and Turner, Wotton-under-Edge.  
 PARR, WILLIAM, Holywell, Flint, Wine Merchant. July 1. Martin, Liverpool.  
 PICKERING, ANN, Green Lanes, Wood Green. June 24. Keays, Charles st, St James'.  
 RALLS, SAMUEL, Yeovil, Somerset, Accountant. June 12. Batten, Yeovil.  
 RAMSBOTTOM, BETTY, Newton Heath, nr Manchester. June 30. Clayton, Ashton-under-Lyne.  
 RAWE, WILLIAM, Gt St Helen's, Gent. June 24. Parker and Son, Lewisham.  
 RIVERS, Right Hon. HORACE PITT, Baron, Rushmore, Wilts. July 1. Farrer and Co, Lincoln's-inn-fields.  
 ROBINSON, ISAAC, Suzergh, Fellside, Westmoreland, Innkeeper. June 26. Thomson and Co, Kendal.  
 SALL, HENRY MACMANUS, Ramsgate. Aug 1. Parker and Co, St Michael's-alley, Cornhill.  
 SALLITTOR, SARAH, Swillington nr Leeds, Grocer. June 15. Tennant and Barrett, Leeds.  
 SIMMON, GEORGE, Chelmorton, nr Buxton, Derby, Farmer. Aug 1. Taylor, Bakewell.  
 SMITH, ELIZABETH, Keighley, York. June 29. Wright and Waterworth, Keighley.  
 SMITH, WILLIAM, Bath, Accountant. June 12. Payne and Fuller, Bath.  
 TAYLOR, THOMAS, Wotton, Stafford, Farmer. June 24. Holland and Rigby, Ashbourne.  
 TOWNSEND, CHARLES WILLIAM, Holbeach, Lincoln, Gent. July 12. Capstick and Co, Holbeach.  
 TRAYOR, WILLIAM MORGAN, Everton, nr Liverpool, Gent. June 10. Barrell and Co, Liverpool.  
 WASEY, MARY, Chisleley, Berks. June 24. Hulbert, Hermitage, nr Newbury.



WHITE, WILLIAM, Leeds, Gold Beater. July 1. Middleton and Sons, Leeds  
 WORTHINGTON, ARTHUR HARRIS, Clarendon rd, Notting hill, Surveyor.  
 June 15. Deane and Co, South sq

[Gazette, May 14.]

## Court Papers.

### SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE.

#### ROTA OF REGISTRARS IN ATTENDANCE ON

Date.	COURT OF APPEAL.	MASTER OF THE ROLLS.	V.C. MALINS.
Friday, May ..	21 Mr. Farrer	Mr. Ward	Mr. Latham
Saturday .....	22 Teesdale	Pemberton	Leach
Monday .....	24 Ward	Clowes	King
Tuesday .....	25 Pemberton	Koe	Merivale
Wednesday .....	26 Ward	Clowes	King
Thursday .....	27 Pemberton	Koe	Merivale
Friday .....	28 Ward	Clowes	King
Saturday .....	29 Pemberton	Koe	Merivale
	V. C. BACON.	V. C. HALL.	Mr. Justice FRY.
Friday, May ..	21 Mr. Koe	Mr. Merivale	Mr. Cobby
Saturday .....	22 Clowes	King	Jackson
Monday .....	24 Jackson	Farrer	Latham
Tuesday .....	25 Cobby	Teesdale	Leach
Wednesday .....	26 Jackson	Farrer	Latham
Thursday .....	27 Cobby	Teesdale	Leach
Friday .....	28 Jackson	Farrer	Latham
Saturday .....	29 Cobby	Teesdale	Leach

### TRINITY SITTINGS, 1880.

#### COURT OF APPEAL.

At Lincoln's-inn and Westminster	App. motns. ex pte, apps. from orders made on interlocutory motns. & other apps.	Wednesday..16	App. motns. ex pte, apps. from orders made on interlocutory motns. & other apps.
Tuesday, May 25	App. motns. ex pte, apps. from orders made on interlocutory motns. & other apps.	Thursday ..17	Bkey apps and or apps
Wednesday..26	Appeals.	Friday .....	18
Thursday ..27	Bkey. apps. & or apps.	Saturday ..19	Appeals.
Friday, .....	28	Monday .....	21
Saturday .....	29	Tuesday .....	22
Tuesday June 1	Appeals.	Wednesday 23	App. motns. ex pte apps. from orders made on interlocutory motns. & other apps.
Wednesday 2	App. motns. ex pte, apps. from orders made on interlocutory motns. & other apps.	Thursday ..24	Bkey apps and or apps
Thursday ..3	Bkey. apps. & or apps.	Friday .....	25
Friday .....	4	Saturday ..26	Appeals
Saturday .....	5	Monday .....	28
Monday .....	7	Tuesday .....	29
Tuesday .....	8	Wednesday..30	App. motns. ex pte, apps. from orders made on interlocutory motns. & other apps.
Wednesday..9	App. motns. ex pte apps. from orders made on interlocutory motions & other apps.	Thursday July 1	Bkey apps and or apps
Thurs. ....10	Bkey. apps. & or apps.	Friday .....	2
Friday .....	11	Saturday ..3	Appeals
Saturday .....	12		
Monday .....	14		
Tuesday .....	15		

### HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

#### CHANCERY DIVISION.

MASTER OF THE ROLLS.	At the Rolls House.	Friday .....	11
Tuesday, May 25	Sitting with Court of Appeal	Saturday .....	12
Wednesday..26	Mtns., adj. sums. & gen. pa.	Monday .....	14
Thursday ..27	General paper	Tuesday .....	15
Friday .....	28	Wednesday..16	General paper.
Saturday .....	29	Thursday ..17	
Monday .....	31	Friday .....	18
Tuesday June 1		Saturday .....	19
Wednesday ..2	General paper.	Monday .....	21
Thursday ..3		Tuesday .....	22
Friday .....	4	Wednesday ..23	General paper.
Saturday .....	5	Thursday ..24	
Monday .....	7	Friday .....	25
Tuesday .....	8	Sat. ....	26
Wednesday ..9	General paper.		
Thursday ..10			

Monday ..... 28 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 29 |  |  |
Wednesday..30	General paper.		
Thursday July 1			
Friday .....	2		

Saturday ..... 3 |  |  ||  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

V.C. SIR RICHARD MALINS.

At Lincoln's-inn.  
 Tuesday, May 25. Motns. & gen. pa.

Wednesday..26 General paper. |  |  || Thursday ..27 |  |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 28 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 29 |  |  || Monday ..... | 31 |  |  |
| Tuesday June 1 | General paper. |  |  |

Wednesday..2 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Thursday ..3 | Sht. caus., & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 4 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 5 |  |  || Monday ..... | 7 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 8 |  |  |

Wednesday..9 General paper. |  |  || Thursday ..10 | Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 11 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 12 |  |  || Monday ..... | 14 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 15 |  |  |

Wednesday..16 General paper. |  |  || Thursday ..17 | Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 18 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 19 |  |  || Monday ..... | 21 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 22 |  |  |

Wednesday..23 General paper. |  |  || Thursday ..24 | Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 25 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 26 |  |  || Monday ..... | 28 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 29 |  |  |

Wednesday..30 General paper. |  |  || Thursday July 1 | Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 3 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 4 |  |  || Monday ..... | 6 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 7 |  |  |

Wednesday..8 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Thursday ..9 | Sht. caus., & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 10 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 11 |  |  || Monday ..... | 13 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 14 |  |  |

Wednesday..15 General paper. |  |  || Thursday ..16 | Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 17 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 18 |  |  || Monday ..... | 20 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 21 |  |  |

Wednesday..22 General paper. |  |  || Thursday ..23 | Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 24 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 25 |  |  || Monday ..... | 27 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 28 |  |  |

Wednesday..29 General paper. |  |  || Thursday ..30 | Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 31 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 1 |  |  || Monday ..... | 3 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 4 |  |  |

Wednesday..5 General paper. |  |  || Thursday ..6 | Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 7 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 8 |  |  || Monday ..... | 10 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 11 |  |  |

Wednesday..12 General paper. |  |  || Thursday ..13 | Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 14 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 15 |  |  || Monday ..... | 17 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 18 |  |  |

Wednesday..19 General paper. |  |  || Thursday ..20 | Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  |
| Friday ..... | 21 |  |  |

Saturday ..... 22 |  |  || Monday ..... | 24 |  |  |
| Tuesday ..... | 25 |  |  |

Friday ..... 25 |  |  || Saturday ..... | 26 |  |  |
| Monday ..... | 28 |  |  |

Tuesday ..... 29 |  |  || Wednesday..30 | General paper. |  |  |
| Thursday July 1 |  |  |  |

Friday ..... 2 |  |  || Saturday ..... | 3 |  |  |

Monday ..... 5 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 6 |  |  |
| Wednesday..7 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..8 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 9 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 10 |  |  |

Monday ..... 11 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 12 |  |  |
| Wednesday..13 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..14 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 15 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 16 |  |  |

Monday ..... 17 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 18 |  |  |
| Wednesday..19 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..20 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 21 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 22 |  |  |

Monday ..... 23 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 24 |  |  |
| Wednesday..25 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..26 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 27 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 28 |  |  |

Monday ..... 29 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 30 |  |  |
| Wednesday..31 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..1 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 2 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 3 |  |  |

Monday ..... 4 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 5 |  |  |
| Wednesday..6 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..7 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 8 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 9 |  |  |

Monday ..... 10 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 11 |  |  |
| Wednesday..12 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..13 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 14 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 15 |  |  |

Monday ..... 16 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 17 |  |  |
| Wednesday..18 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..19 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 20 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 21 |  |  |

Monday ..... 22 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 23 |  |  |
| Wednesday..24 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..25 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 26 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 27 |  |  |

Monday ..... 28 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 29 |  |  |
| Wednesday..30 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..31 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 1 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 2 |  |  |

Monday ..... 3 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 4 |  |  |
| Wednesday..5 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..6 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 7 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 8 |  |  |

Monday ..... 9 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 10 |  |  |
| Wednesday..11 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..12 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 13 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 14 |  |  |

Monday ..... 15 |  |  || Tuesday ..... | 16 |  |  |
| Wednesday..17 | General paper. |  |  |

Thursday ..18 Mtns. & gen. pa. |  |  || Friday ..... | 19 |  |  |
| Saturday ..... | 20 |  |  |

### HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

LONDON.—EASTER SITTING, 1880.

#### LIST OF ACTIONS FOR TRIAL.

C P 181 Nuwendam (G F Palmer) v Adamson, Howie, and Co (J Vernon) com SJ  
 C P 182 The Marine Mutual Inace Association, lmd (Stocken and J) v W and J Young (T Donnithorne) SJ

- C P 183 Harris (Ley and B) v McNamara (C A Curwood) stayed SJ  
 Q B 184 Bauer and Co (Maples, T and Co) v Hutchinson and anr (H C Coote) SJ  
 C P 185 Crowther and ors (Crosley and B) v W Ladenberg and Co (R Miller and W) SJ  
 Q B 186 Fletcher and Mason (Torr, J and Co) v Robinson (Rooks, K and Co)  
 Q B 187 Norris and ors. Trading, &c (J and R Gole) v Allcock and Co (J Liddiard and Co)  
 Ex 188 Lawrence (Munn and L) v Anglo-French Tram Co, lmd (R Chandler)  
 Q B 189 Anderson (G and W Webb) v Brockelbank Shipping Co, lmd (Kisch, Son and H)  
 Q B 190 Ross (F Bradley) v Stewart (Storey and C)  
 Q B 191 E Martyn and Sons, lmd (Same) v Bowring and Archibald (Field, R and Co) com SJ  
 Q B 192 Rudkin (Morley and S) v Worley and anr (Champion, R and P) SJ  
 Q B 193 Fastnedge (W Beck) v Moll (F C Tudor)  
 C P 194 Carpenter (E F B Harston) v Armstrong (W Rogers) SJ  
 Q B 195 Knight (Lanfair and S) v Gibbs (P Roberts) SJ  
 Ex 196 Arkell and anr (Houghton and B) v Thurber and Co (Ingle, C and S) com SJ  
 C P 197 Shaw (Freshfields and W) v Birch and anr (Birch and K) SJ  
 Ex 198 Garrow and anr (W H Sturt) v White and ors (R Jones and Co)  
 C P 199 Keddie (Button, Grove and Co) v McGavin and Co (J D Peard)  
 Q B 200 Money (T D Pettiver) v Moon and ors (J Rae) SJ  
 Ex 201 Park (J Dingwall) v Thorley (Coombe and B)  
 Q B 202 Holliday, infant (G and W Webb) v Great Eastern Ry Co (C A Curwood) SJ  
 C P 203 Smith (Boultons and Son) v Walton and ors (Roscoe, H and S)  
 Q B 204 White (G and W Webb) v Angerstein (Johnson and M)  
 Q B 205 Derby Commercial Bank, lmd (F T Dubois) v Sibe rt (Torr and Co)  
 C P 206 Price and Co (Lawrence, P and B) v Schoetensack (Rooks and Co) SJ  
 Ex 207 Piggett (Dixon, Ward and Co) Turner (Meynell and Co)  
 Q B 208 Hansen (R Greening) v Grice and Co (Hollams, Son and C) com SJ  
 C P 209 Wood (E W Parkes) v Gilbert (A S Edmunds and Son) SJ  
 C P 210 Reider (Cock and S) v N Metropolitan Tram Co, lmd (H C Godfray) SJ  
 Ex 211 Crawford (Wilkins, Band F) v Imperial Bank (W C Russell) com SJ  
 C P 212 Ironmongers' Co (S A Beck) v Stephens (Elborough and D)  
 Ex 213 Scott (W Webb) v Stewart (H Wickens)  
 C P 214 Northcott and Wife (Schultz and Son) v London, Brighton, &c, Ry Co Norton, R and Co) SJ  
 Ex 215 Moore (Blake and S) v Harcup (Clarke, W and R) com  
 Ex 216 Siegenberg (H M Phillips) v Barwell (C Parke)  
 Ex 217 Harvey and anr (Keene, M and B) v Crocker (J Mote)  
 Ex 218 Hughes (Peacock and G) v Burgess and ors (Williamson, H and Co) SJ  
 C P 219 Lefevre (A O Julius) v Lefevre and Co (L A Wenn)  
 Q B 220 Arkell and anr (J McDiarmid) v Berryman and anr (Hollams, Son and C) com SJ  
 C P 221 Jones and Wife (Rodgers and C) v London Tram Co, lmd (H C Godfray) SJ  
 Q B 222 Irvine (Saum, C and Co) v Scrutton, Sons and Co (Nash and P) SJ  
 C P 223 Black (T H Williams) v Garret (Saffery and H) stayed  
 Ex 224 Muskett and anr (H W Christmas) v Cook (A T Hewitt)  
 Ex 225 Wingrove (Hillery and T) v Tubbs and Lewis (Chappell, W and Co) SJ  
 Ex 226 Parish (H W Christmas) v Beall (In Person)  
 Ex 227 Jackson and Co (F Venn and Son) v Oswald and Co (Peacock and G) com  
 Q B 228 Baker and Wife (F W Denny) Johnson (In Person)  
 Q B 229 Lee (T D Pettiver) v Seale (O G Rutter)  
 C P 230 McAndrew (A S Beck) v Casey (J Neal)  
 C P 231 Taylor and anr (J S Taylor) v Urquhart (In Person)  
 Ex 232 C Zoebell and Co (L B Mozley) v Atlas Soap Works and ors (Paddison Sou and T; W Caister) com  
 Ex 233 Hammack and anr (Houghtons and B) v Wells (Hudson, M and Co) SJ  
 C P 234 Sutcliffe and Wife (R B Johnson) v Winter and Co (Toulmin and Co)  
 Q B 235 Heath (Podmore and H) v Perrott and anr (G H Cole)  
 Ex 236 J and G Hurrell (Same) v G Shaw (J C Asprey)  
 C P 237 The Colonial Bank of New Zealand (Masterman, H and Co) v Arkell, Tufts and Co (J McDiarmid) com  
 C P 238 Underwood (H A Edgar) v Strange (Layton, Son and L) without a jury  
 C P 239 Nourse (Lowless and Co) Kelly (C P Pritchard and M)  
 C P 240 Money Wigram and Sons (Waltons, B and W) v Krage (Trinders and Co) SJ  
 Q B 241 Grant (J Nicholls) v Holland and anr (Ellis, M and Co) SJ  
 Ex 242 Schang and anr (M Hawkins) v Harrison (W Rawlins) com  
 Q B 243 Lomas (Williamson, Hill and Co) v E and F N Spon (The Stocken and J)  
 Q B 244 Earle and ors (G and W Webb) v Cooper and anr (Hazel-dine and Co)  
 C P 245 Crosland and anr (Ellis and Crossfield) v Wakeford (T W Baldwin)  
 Ex 246 Lamb and Son (Phelps, S and B) v Woolfoot (R Hewlett)  
 Ex 247 Scott and anr (E Flux and L) v Earl (Hudson, M and Co)  
 Ex 248 Debenham and ors (T G Bullen) v Morton (W H Herbert) SJ  
 Ex 249 Austen and anr (Hawks, Stokes and McK) v Thirkell (Sole, T and K) SJ  
 Ex 250 Burrell (Dolman and P) v Woolf (Harris, W and R) SJ  
 Ex 251 Hall and Wife (C F B Birchall) v N Metropolitan Tramways Co (H C Godfray) SJ  
 C P 252 Hogg and anr (Gush and P) v The Oriental Bank Corporation (Murray, H and S) com SJ  
 C P 253 Le Lubez (Harper, B and B) v Hall (Pickett and M)  
 Q B 254 Steinhoff (Barnes and Co) v Williams (H G Field)  
 Q B 255 Robinson and anr (T Noton) v N Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfray) SJ  
 Ex 256 Wolff (W H Lydall) v Dunkle Buhler (Paddison and Co)  
 Q B 257 Varley (R W Marsland) v Great Northern Ry Co (Nelson, Beer and Co) SJ  
 Ex 258 Charig (Goldberg and L) v Cooke (Crowther and W)  
 C P 259 Whiting (G M Wetherfield) v Martin (H L Bird)  
 Ex 260 Latham (Hilleary and T) v Wilmott (S T Cooper)  
 C P 261 Humble and Thompson (W A Crump and Son) v Neath Harbour Comms (Hacon and T) SJ  
 Ex 262 Hooper (G F Parker and Co) v Hatherill (A D Michael) 1st action Stayed  
 Q B 263 The Tea Co, lmd (F Bradley) v Hester (W Caister)  
 Q B 264 Collingridge (T Micklen, junr) v Belcher (H Levy)  
 Q B 265 Seymour (Nash and F) v West (J Raven and Co) SJ  
 C P 266 Hudson (Russell, Son and S) v Moseley (G E Carpenter)  
 Q B 267 Gordon (Williamson, H and Co) v Wright (Hollams, Son and C)  
 C P 268 Kirby (Farlow and J) v The Ocean and General Guarantee Co, lmd (Masterman, H and Co)  
 C P 269 Madame Tussaud and Sons (W A Crump and Son) v Miller (W Maynard)  
 C P 270 Woodfin (A W Wray) v Clever (C Harcourt)  
 C P 271 Beliam (G Cosens) v Selkirk (E Bastard)  
 C P 272 Johnson and Co (Roberts and B) v Jenkins (Prior, Bigg and Co, SJ)  
 Ex 273 Nast (C Mossop) v Cooper (H F Kite) SJ  
 C P 274 Leman (H B Roberts) v Richardson (Taylor and H)  
 Q B 275 Migotti (W Butcher) v Kingsbury (H W Christmas)  
 C P 276 Rumball (Potter and S) v Willish (Nicholson and H) SJ  
 Ex 277 Harston (C F B Birchall) v Scott (H Kelly)  
 Q B 278 Abrahams (T D Pettiver) v Hall (A Haynes and Son)  
 Ex 279 Metropolitan Bank, lmd (Newman, S and H) v Heiron (Harper, B and B) SJ  
 Q B 280 Lapraik (Brooks, Jenkins and Co) v Stride (E Flux and L) SJ  
 C P 281 Lee and anr (Clarke, R and C) v Aberdeen Steam Navgn Co (Lyne and H) without jury  
 Q B 282 Carvill and Son (Hollams, Son and C) v Cumming and Co (Phillips and Son) SJ  
 C P 283 Murrell and ors (Clarke, R and C) v Howe and ors (Hilleary and T; Hunter, Gwatkin and Co) SJ  
 C P 284 Kitchin and Co (Waltons, B and W) v Jones (Hollams, Son and C) SJ  
 Ex 285 Shepherd (Lyne and H) v Whitworth (R W Marsland) com SJ  
 C P 285a Brown (H Wickens) v North Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfray) SJ  
 Ex 286 Piper (Sole, S and K) v Isle of Wight Ry and anr (Porter and S)  
 C P 287 New Zealand and Australian Land Co, lmd (Young, Jones and Co) v Ruston and Co (Stubbard, Gibson and C)  
 Q B 288 Landsberg and Son (M Davis) v Fehrenbach and Howry (A S Godfrey)  
 C P 289 Kendall (Ley and B) v Ivory (Peckham, M and P)  
 C P 290 Kruszinski (H Montag) v Heath (Podmore and H)  
 C P 291 Servet (W A Crump and Son) v Cohen (Lowless and Co)  
 Q B 292 Parker (Rooks and Co) v Harwood (J Harwood) SJ  
 Q B 293 Henderson (Pritchard and Sons) v Beardwood, Jones and Co (Gregory and Co)  
 C P 294 Woodhead (Reep, Lane and Co) v Smith (Tibbitts and Co)  
 Q B 295 Lee (T D Pettiver) v Charlton and ors (In Person)  
 Q B 296 Bell (Cheston and Sons) v Staveley (F L Soames)  
 C P 297 Hitchins (Noon and C) v Atkinson (Bower and C)  
 Q B 298 Sear (S S Seal) v Nunn (Wild, B and W)  
 C P 299 Lumley (T C Russell) v Stubbs (C Harcourt)  
 Q B 300 Bowen (May, S and B) v Lloyd (Eyre and Co)  
 Q B 301 Scott, Chamberlain, &c (T J Nelson) v Stokes (J Holmes)  
 Q B 302 Same (Same) v Gordon (Same)  
 C P 303 Bassett (T C Russell) v Barber and anr (York and B)  
 C P 304 Hislop (Same) v Trafford (Andrews and W)  
 Ex 305 Wood (Ingle, C and H) v Bill Dresser and Co (Phelps, S and Co) SJ  
 C P 306 Barber (Barton, Browne and Co) v London Tram Co (H C Godfray) SJ  
 C P 307 Hemming (Harper, B and B) v Chatterton (H W Chatterton)  
 C P 308 Mogg (Noon and C) v Mariner (Miller and M)  
 C P 309 Newman (F W and H Hilbery) v Schaeffer (J R Macartur)  
 Ex 310 Shepherd (Lyne and H) v Imperial Marine Insee Co, lmd (Field, R and Co) SJ  
 C P 311 Curtis, Sons and Co (Gregory, R and Co) v Rooney (Rooks and Co) SJ  
 Q B 312 Schneider (W Beck) v Fraser, Limburg and Co (W Foster)  
 Ex 313 Peltier and anr (M Hawkins) v Faucheux and Co and anr (Mercer and M) SJ  
 Q B 314 Slater (E Flux and L) v Clarke and Co (Carey, Warburton and C)  
 Ex 315 Norton (W R Preston) v Hutton (T Allingham)  
 C P 316 Honek (Digby and J) v Muller (Van Sandau and Co) SJ  
 Ex 317 Stanger (W G Morris) v Bates (T Allingham)  
 Q B 318 Crisp and Tomlin (Carr, Son and T) v Braham (H C Barker)  
 Ex 319 Oriental Bank Corporation (Murray, H and S) v Thomas Duncan and Son (Clarke, R and Co)  
 Q B 320 Ellis and Sons (Hollams, Son and C) v Aitchinson and anr (Waltons, B and W) com  
 Q B 321 Bates Bros. and Co (Same) v J Allinson and Sons (Plews, I and Co) SJ  
 Ex 322 Metropolitan Inner Circle Completion Ry Co (Newman, S and H) v Metropolitan and Metropolitan District Ry Co (Burchells) SJ  
 Q B 323 The Tea Co, lmd (F Bradley) v Noake (T C Russell)  
 Ex 324 Henderson (Davies and Sons) v Hickie, Barman and Co (Trinders and Co) SJ

- Ex 325 Highwood and Wife (R H Ward) v Walker (E G Lawrence)  
 CP 326 Stenning (Lowless and Co) v School Board for Beckenham  
 (Tilleard and Co) SJ  
 CP 327 Rugo (A H Brown) v North London Ry Co (Paine, L and  
 Co) SJ  
 Q B 328 Powis (Combe and W) v Prior (Leary L and P)  
 Ex 329 Ongle and Co (Champion, R and P) v Richardson and Co  
 (J W Few)  
 CP 330 Roberts and Co (Trinders and Curtis Haywood) v De  
 Roubais and Co (Champion, R and P)  
 CP 331 Hialop (T C Russel) v Mc Dougal (Ruddle and B)  
 CP 332 Gaskin (F W and H Hilbery) v Freemantle (Hare and F)  
 CP 333 Parry (G Lockyer) v Clements (Bristow and S)  
 CP 334 Lewis (Crosley and B) v Stacy and anr (W F Neave) SJ  
 Q B 335 Hay (J Nicholls) v Tilling (Hicklin and W)  
 CP 336 Bank of New Wales (Waltons, B and W) v Neck and ors (R  
 Furber)  
 Q B 337 H Young and Co (J Mackrell and Co) v Mayor, &c of Royal  
 Leamington Spa and anr (H Tyrrell; F Heritage and Co)  
 Ex 338 Geisel (W G Morris) v Jackson (T M Cridge)  
 Ex 339 Rothschild (Goldberg and L) v Hough (W Medcalf)  
 Q B 340 Morley (Rooks and Co) v Phenix (Ashurst, M and Co)  
 Q B 341 Bebro (Robins and Son) v Kent (J Fraser)  
 CP 342 Hornsby (T Bead and Son) v Fairclough and Sons (Morris,  
 Stone and Co)  
 Ex 343 Thomas (H M Phillips) v Grant (In Person) without jury  
 CP 344 Young, Elhers and Co (Stocken and J) v Oates (J McDiarmid)  
 SJ  
 CP 345 Willcocks (Farnfields) v Mulloney (H C Barker)  
 CP 346 Michell (Ley and B) v Geere (Hilleary and T)  
 CP 347 Philipp (Goldberg and L) v Hamburger Bros (Lewis and L)  
 CP 348 Joel (Nixon and C) v Moore (Podmore and H)  
 Ex 349 Watson and Sons (W Evans) v Horeley, Palmer, Stirling  
 and Co (Courtenay and C) SJ  
 Q B 350 Robinson (Wolferstan, A and J) v Silk (Mark Davis)  
 CP 351 Philp (W Philp) v Harris (J Croft)  
 Ex 352 Haslip and anr (C F B Birchall) v Knowles (Goldberg and L)  
 CP 353 Dawson and Son (C W Domett) v Lathbury (G H Terrell)  
 Ex 354 Rowlands (H Windybank) v Cleaver (Tilleard, G and H)  
 Q B 355 Horn and Kehrman (Van Sandau and C) v Charles (Thomp-  
 son, Son and B)  
 Q B 356 Gas Light and Coke Co (Bedford and Monier Williams) v  
 Weston and Co (Newbon, Harrison and Co) SJ  
 Ex 357 Lang (Lumley and L) v Hohly (M Abrahams and Co)  
 CP 358 Sharpe (C F York) v Jennings (T Durant)  
 Q B 359 Anderson (G H Hall) v Gutmacher (Houlders)  
 Ex 360 Mason and Son (Simpson and C) v Lindsay (A W Hurrell)  
 SJ  
 CP 361 Gassiot and anr (Hill, Son and R) v Leather (Gregory, R  
 and Co) without jury  
 CP 362 Patent Safety Gun Cotton Co, limd (Freshfields and W) v  
 Wilson (W Medcalf) SJ  
 Ex 363 Patent Lionite Co (J Taylor, M and T) v Ashworth (Chester  
 and Co) SJ  
 CP 364 Salter and Son (H Gething) v Merritt and Ashby (Munns  
 and L)  
 Q B 365 Brooker (W Beck) v Same (Same)  
 Q B 366 King, trustee, &c (O Bryant) v Lewis (E D Lewis)  
 Ex 367 Ladbury (Pritchard, E and Co) v Moses (H Montagu)  
 Q B 368 Thompson (H A Maude) v Marshall (T R Apps; W Beck)  
 without jury  
 CP 369 Pentin (Lewis and Watson) v Lauf (Ruddle and B)  
 Q B 370 Cooper (Newbon, H and Co) v Donnithorne (Munns and L)  
 SJ  
 CP 371 Barclay (R W Marsland) v Ashbury Carriage and Iron Co,  
 limd (Johnson and W) SJ  
 CP 372 Bradford (Waltons, B and W) v Symondson (Field, R and  
 Co) SJ  
 CP 373 Bolckow, Vaughan and Co and ors (Same) Young (W A  
 Crump and Son) SJ  
 Q B 374 Wendover and Co (Gregory R and Co) v Mansel (Nelson,  
 Son and H)  
 CP 375 Stewart and Co (F W and H Hilbery) v Henry (Rogers  
 and C)  
 Q B 376 The Millers and General Fire Ince Co, limd (Field R and  
 Co) v Wyman and Sons (Norton, R and Co) SJ  
 Ex 377 Lawrie (T G Bullen) v Mulliner (Baxters and Co) SJ  
 Ex 378 Eastes (T H Bartlett) v Bell (Cole and J) SJ  
 CP 379 Levett and Co, limd (Jno Knight) v Glynn and Son (Low-  
 less and Co)  
 Ex 380 Wright and anr (J T Moss) v London and St Katherine's  
 Dock Co (W M Hacon) SJ  
 CP 381 Gutierrez (H Montague) v Samson and anr (Pritchard and  
 Co) com SJ  
 CP 382 Dickinson (J Chapman and B) v Norris (Hollams, Son and  
 C) SJ  
 Ex 383 Williams (C F B Birchall) v Petrie (W F Morris)  
 CP 384 Wood (Farlow and J) v Bensted (Dollman and P)  
 CP 385 Smith (T C Russel) v Wright (Stone, M and S)  
 Ex 386 Humdall (J M Green) v Rumball and Co (W Morley)  
 Q B 387 Field (Hollams, Son and C) v Duches Fils (Pritchard, E  
 and Co) SJ  
 Q B 388 Central Bank of London, limd (Same) v Beddall and anr  
 (W Rogers) SJ  
 Q B 389 The Whitecross Wire and Iron Co (Same) v Saville and ors  
 (Ingledew and I) SJ  
 Q B 390 Britneff (Same) v Kinloch and Co (J Knight) SJ  
 Q B 391 Jones (Same) v The Aberayron Mutual Ship Ince Society,  
 limd (Ingledew and I) SJ  
 Q B 392 The Union Bank of Scotland (Same) v Bell (J H Waring)  
 Q B 393 Philipp (Goldberg and L) v Hill and Co (Shepherd and  
 Son)  
 Ex 394 Dubois and anr (Miller and M) v Cross (Micklethwait and  
 Co)  
 Q B 395 Richardson and Co (Hollams, Son and C) v Burrows (Wal-  
 tons, B and W) SJ  
 Q B 396 Wolfen (Same) v Arkell, Tufts and Co (J Mc Diarmid) SJ

- Q B 397 Central Bank of London, limd (Same) v Newby (Harper,  
 B and Co)  
 Q B 398 Legg (Williamson, H and Co) v Plummer (Dawes and Sons)

## ELECTION PETITIONS.

The trial of the election petition for Canterbury is fixed to commence on June 3.

The petition against the return for the borough of West-  
 bury will be tried by Lush and Manisty, JJ., on June 14.

The trial of the election petition for Wallingford will com-  
 mence on Thursday, June 10. The judges are Denman and  
 Lopes, JJ.

The petition against the return of Sir Henry Fletcher, for  
 Horsham, will be heard on Monday, June 7.

The trial of the Colchester petition will take place at  
 Colchester on June 7.

The Gloucester election petition will be heard on June 9.

The Tewkesbury petition will be tried before Lush and  
 Manisty, JJ., on June 18.

The hearing of the Harwich election petition will take  
 place there on June 2.

The petition filed against the Baron de Ferrieres, M.P. for  
 Cheltenham, has been fixed to be heard on Wednesday,  
 June 2.

The Hereford election petition will be heard on Monday,  
 June 14.

In the Probate and Matrimonial Division of the Irish  
 High Court of Justice, last week, application was made for  
 probate according to the terms of the will and codicils of the  
 late James Spotton, a gentleman of considerable property at  
 Rosehill, county Cavan, who died on the 1st of March  
 last. The testator did not in words appoint executors, and  
 the appointment had to be made according to the construc-  
 tion of the documents. The will, after devising certain  
 properties to his eldest son, and legacies of £500 each to his  
 daughters Mary Jane and Isabella, and £200 to his grand-  
 daughter, Anne Goodman, provided that any of the legatees  
 who should go to law should be cut off with a shilling. The  
 will proceeded—"I appoint William Smith, Esq., of Wel-  
 lington-street, Dublin, and Thomas Kernan, Esq., of  
 Abbey-street, Dublin, as my trustees to carry out this my  
 will; and that there may be no law connected with my  
 affairs, these two gentlemen know how to keep any of my  
 legatees from going to law, as it will be easy to give any one  
 of them one shilling, as already mentioned"; and after  
 other provisions the testator added, "I leave my two sons  
 William and George to be trustees of my estate, and to have  
 full power to carry out all the contents of this my will; and  
 should they not agree in their opinion, the two gentlemen I  
 have before mentioned will set them all right." Counsel  
 submitted that according to the tenor of the will the two  
 sons named should be appointed executors. Judge Warren  
 reserved his decision.

## SALES OF ENSUING WEEK.

May 24.—Mr. ARTHUR JACKSON, at the Mart, at 2 p.m.,  
 Leasehold Properties (see advertisement, May 15, p. 7).

May 25.—Messrs. HARMAN & MATTHEWS, at the Mart, at 2  
 p.m., Leasehold Properties (see advertisement this week,  
 p. 5).

May 28.—Messrs. NORTON, TRIST, WATNEY & Co., at Ports-  
 mouth, Freehold Property (see advertisement, May 15, p. 8).

## PUBLIC COMPANIES.

May 20, 1880.

## GOVERNMENT FUNDS.

3 per. Cent. Consols, 99½	Annuities, April, '80, 9½
Ditto for Account, 99½	Do. (Red Sea T.) Aug. 1908
Do. 3 per. Cent. Reduced, 97½	Ex Bills, £1000, 2½ per Ct. 2 pm
New 3 per. Cons., 97½	Ditto, £500, Do, 2 pm
Do. 3½ per. Cent., Jan. '94	Ditto, £100 & £200, 2 pm
Do. 2½ per. Cent., Jan. '94	Bank of England Stock, 272½
Annuities Jan. '80	Ditto for Account.

## INDIAN GOVERNMENT SECURITIES.

Ind. Stk., 5 per C., July, '80, 104	Inf. Pr. 5½ per Cent., May, 81
Ditto for Account —	Ditto Debentures, 4 per Cent
Ditto 4 per Cent., Oct. '88, 103½	April, '84
Ditto, ditto, Certificated —	Do. Do. 5 per Cent., Aug. '73
Ditto Ensued Ppr., 4 per Cent.	Do. Bonds, 4 per Cent. £1000
2nd Inf. Pr., 5 per C., Jan. '73	Ditto, ditto, under £1000



## RAILWAY STOCK.

	Railways.	Paid.	Closing Price
Stock	Caledonian .....	100	117½
Stock	Glasgow and South-Western .....	100	106
Stock	Great Eastern Ordinary Stock .....	100	62½
Stock	Great Northern .....	100	121½
Stock	Do., A Stock .....	100	123½
Stock	Great Western and Western of Ireland .....	100	—
Stock	Great Western—Original .....	100	118½
Stock	Lancashire and Yorkshire .....	100	131½
Stock	London, Brighton, and South Coast .....	100	33½
Stock	London, Chatham, and Dover .....	100	155
Stock	London and North-Western .....	100	137
Stock	London and South Western .....	100	88½
Stock	Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincoln .....	100	122½
Stock	Metropolitan .....	100	81½
Stock	Do., District .....	100	136½
Stock	Midland .....	100	75½
Stock	North British .....	100	159
Stock	North Eastern .....	100	180
Stock	North London .....	100	89
Stock	North Staffordshire .....	100	—
Stock	South Devon .....	100	135
Stock	South-Eastern .....	100	—

## BIRTHS, MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

## BIRTHS.

CATTANACH.—May 17, at 14, London-street, Edinburgh, the wife of Peter F. Cattanach, advocate, of a son.  
 CLIFFORD.—May 12, at Clapham, the wife of George Frederick Clifford, barrister-at-law, of a son.  
 LAMBERT.—May 17, at Collingwood-villas, Lower Tooting, the wife of S. E. Lambert, solicitor, of a son.  
 SUMNER.—May 17, at Eltham, the wife of Edmund Sumner, of Doctors'-commons, solicitor, of a son.  
 WALKER.—May 28, at 6, Clyde-street, Redcliffe-gardens, South Kensington, the wife of Arthur George Walker, barrister-at-law, of a daughter.

## MARRIAGES.

CHUBB—CHUBB.—May 15, at St. Thomas's, Portman-square, Charles Lytleton Chubb, LL.M., barrister-at-law, to Lucy Blanche, daughter of William Chubb, of Hinde-street, Manchester-square.  
 RIDWAY—MARMONT.—May 18, at Lower Forest Green Chapel, Nailsworth, Charles Arthur Ridgway, solicitor, to Katie Elisabeth, daughter of Joseph Blackmore Marmont, of Stroud, Gloucestershire.

## LONDON GAZETTES.

## Bankruptcy.

FRIDAY, May 14, 1880.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.

To Surrender in London.

Champion, Frank Harrison, Caledonian rd, Tailor. Pet May 11.  
 Murray. May 28 at 11  
 Dives, Thomas, Grove lane, Camberwell, Commercial Traveller. Pet May 12. Brougham. May 25 at 12

To Surrender in the Country.

Banks, Solomon William, Strangeways, Manchester, Mill Manager. Pet May 11. Lister. Manchester. May 31 at 12  
 Bothamley, Ann, and William Bothamley, Holme, Huntingdon, Farmers. Pet May 10. Gaches. Peterborough. May 29 at 11  
 Ord, Margaret Maria, North Shields, Wine Merchant. Pet May 10.  
 Ingledew, Newcastle. May 25 at 2.30  
 Price, James Gilbert, Llanillo, Hereford, Gent. Pet May 10. Carless, jun. Hereford. May 27 at 10  
 Taylor, George, Stoke-under-Hamdon, Somerset, Baker. Pet Apr 30.  
 Batten, Yeovil, May 21 at 11  
 Wilson, William, Stokes Croft, Bristol, Manufacturer of Magnetic Appliances. Pet May 11. Harley. Bristol. May 28 at 2

TUESDAY, May 16, 1880.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.

To Surrender in London.

Brown, Edwin, Stockwell rd, Surrey, Plumber. Pet May 14. Murray. June 4 at 11  
 Hester, John Cooke, Moorgate, Merchant. Pet May 13. Hazlitt. June 2 at 12  
 Moss, J., Park rd West, Dulwich. Pet May 13. Hazlitt. June 2 at 12  
 Walker, W., Holborn viaduct, Secretary to a Limited Liability Company. Pet May 14. Pepps. June 2 at 12.30  
 Watson, S., Finsbury circus, Rose' villas, Harlesden, Auctioneer. Pet Apr 14. Pepps. June 2 at 12.30

To Surrender in the Country.

Burr, Arthur, Cymman Hall, Flint, Colliery Proprietor. Pet May 10. Williams. Wrexham, June 8 at 2  
 Constable, John Maurice, Little Bromley, Essex, Farmer. Pet May 14. Barnes. Colchester, June 5 at 1  
 Davidson, James, Sheffield, Gent. Pet May 13. Wake. Sheffield, June 2 at 2  
 Giffin, William Bernard, Rugby, Warwick, Pupil Brewer. Pet May 10. Kirby. Coventry, May 29 at 12

Hunt, Charles Philip, Holne Chase, Ashburton, Devon. Pet Mar 3  
 Edmonds. East Stonehouse, June 3 at 15  
 Jenkinson, Samuel, Barrow-in-Furness, American Organ Manufacturer. Pet May 14. Postlethwaite. Barrow-in-Furness, May 31 at 1  
 Johnson, Joseph Mell, Workshop, Nottingham, Plumber. Pet May 13.  
 Wake. Sheffield, June 2 at 1  
 Michaelsohn, Gustav, Kingston-upon-Hull, Commission Agent. Pe May 13. Rollet. Kingston-upon-Hull, June 1 at 3  
 Moore, John George, Leamington, Warwick, Estate Agent. Pet May 14. Campbell. Warwick, May 29 at 10  
 Pearce, Frederick, Bristol, Confectioner. Pet May 13. Harley. Bristol, May 31 at 2  
 Spence, David Dick, Frizinghall, nr Bradford, York. Pet May 14. Robinson. Bradford, June 11 at 2  
 Thornton, William Reuben, Barrow-in-Furness, Engineers' Furnisher. Pet May 14. Postlethwaite. Barrow-in-Furness, June 2 at 3  
 Young, John, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Merchant. Pet May 14. Ingledew. Newcastle, May 31 at 11

## BANKRUPTCIES ANNULLED.

FRIDAY, May 14, 1880.

Aird, Walter, Sandown, Isle of Wight, Gent. May 12  
 Alven, Stanley Wyndham, Puttsborough, Devon, a retired Officer of her Majesty's Indian Army. May 6

TUESDAY, May 18, 1880.

Cotnam, Richard, and Richard Kneen, Gt Crosby, nr Liverpool, Brick Manufacturers. May 15

## Liquidations by Arrangement.

## FIRST MEETINGS OF CREDITORS.

FRIDAY, May 14, 1880.

Algar, John Barham, Claude rd, Peckham Rye, Builder. June 5 at 3 at offices of Fitch, Bedford row  
 Asquith, Benjamin, jun, Wakefield, York, Greengrocer. May 25 at 11 at offices of Lodge, Wood st, Wakefield  
 Bailey, Maria, Banwell, Somerset, Corn Dealer. May 27 at 12 at offices of O'Donoghue and Anson, St. Augustine's parade, Bristol  
 Baldwin, Walter, Bolton, Lancashire, out of business. May 25 at 2 at offices of Baleshaw, Bowker's row, Bolton  
 Ballam, Joseph, Bournemouth, Hants, Victualler. June 9 at 3 at offices of Aldridge, Westover villas, Bournemouth  
 Barratt, James John, Chapel st, Islington, Confectioner. May 26 at 3 at the Peacock Tavern, High st, Islington. Ody, Camberwell New rd  
 Bechtel, George Christopher, Harpurhey, Lancashire, Yarn Doublor. June 1 at 3 at offices of Grundy and Co, Booth st, Manchester  
 Beeny, Caroline, Eastbourne, Sussex, Corn Merchant. May 27 at 12 at the Bear Hotel, Cliffe, Lewes. Hillman, Lewes.  
 Bentley, John Thomas, Great Worcester, Grocer. May 25 at 11 at offices of Ansell, Waterloo st, Birmingham  
 Berrishford, George, Longdon, Stafford, Farmer. June 1 at 11.30 at offices of Tennant and Co, Chesapeake, Hanley  
 Bird, William, Studley, Warwick, Boot Maker. May 27 at 12 at the Bear Hotel, Alcester. Britton, Alcester  
 Boswall, James Thomas, Albany st, Regent's Park, Railway Clerk. May 27 at 3 at offices of Speechly and Co, New Inn, Strand. London. New Inn, Strand.  
 Bray, Henry, sen, and Henry Bray, jun, Aylestone Park, Chester, Boot and Shoe Manufacturers, May 27 at 3 at offices of Shires, Market st, Leicester  
 Breese, Michael, Walsall Wood, Stafford, Provision Dealer. May 26 at 10.15 at offices of East, Temple st, Birmingham  
 Berkett, Henry Herbert, St. John's rd, Hoxton, Butcher. May 26 at 2 at the Inns of Court Hotel, Holborn. Godfrey  
 Bury, Whitaker, and James Taylor, Over Darwen, Lancashire, Pork Butchers. May 26 at 3 at offices of Haworth, Lord st, West, Blackburn  
 Butcher, William, Collettd, Bermondsey, out of business. May 22 at 2 at offices of Neary, Gomm rd, Southwark pk. Hilton, Renfrew rd, Kennington lane  
 Chisman, James, Wimborne Minster, Dorset, a Retired Boatman. June 2 at 11 at offices of Moore and Harvey, Wimborne Minster  
 Clark, Edwin, Norton, Wilt, Farmer. June 1 at 3 at the King's Arms Hotel, Malmesbury. Chubb, Malmesbury  
 Clements, Henry Robert, Skinner st, New Brompton, Baker. May 24 at 3 at offices of Harcourt, Moorgate st  
 Constable, John Matthew, Mistry, Essex, Farmer. May 31 at 2.30 at the Packet Inn, Manningtree. Elwes, East Hill, Colchester  
 Cook, Joseph, Wrobro common, York, Grocer. June 2 at 3 at offices of Ridesl. Chronicle chambers, Barnsley  
 Cooper, Alexander, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Hatter. May 29 at 2 at offices of Scott, White Horse buildings, Pilgrim st, Newcastle-upon-Tyne  
 Cox, John, and Thomas Brown, Ulverston, Lancashire, Contractors. May 25 at 3 at the Temperance Hall, Ulverston. Sims, Barrow-in-Furness  
 Crago, Frederick, Birmingham, Tobacconist. May 25 at 3 at offices of Edwards, Waterloo st, Birmingham  
 Curtis, Edward Jesse, Chadlington, Oxford, Baker. May 26 at 11 at the Crown Hotel, Chipping Norton. Wilkins  
 Dailly, Daniel, Bristol, Fish Salesman. May 26 at 12 at offices of Clifton and Carter, Broad st, Bristol  
 Daymond, Lewis, Newion Saint Cyres, Denon, Boot Maker. May 26 at 4 at offices of Southcott, Post Office st, Bedford circus, Exeter  
 Dodimead, James, Tottenham Court rd, Steam Fret Cutter. June 1 at 3 at offices of Butcher, Chesapside  
 Edleston, James, and Frank Owtram, Preston, Lancashire, Cotton Manufacturers. May 28 at 3 at the Mitre Hotel, Cathedral yd, Manchester. Welsh and Son, Manchester  
 Evans, Edward Vaughan, Glyn Neath, Glamorgan, Farmer. June 1 at 3 at offices of Charles, the Parade, Neath  
 Field, Charles Titus, Biggleswade, Bedford, Boot Manufacturer. May 28 at 1 at the Inns of Court Hotel, Holborn. Conquest and Clere-Bedford  
 Forner, Thomas, Kidsgrove, Stafford, Beer Retailer. May 24 at 12 at offices of Ayre, Chesapside, Hanley

Forry, John, the Promenade, Ealing, Grocer. May 27 at 3 at offices of Reep and Co, Queen st. Cannon st.

Fox, James, Doncaster, Hosier. May 26 at 2 at offices of Ellis, St George gate, Doncaster. Burdick and Co

Freeman, George, London Central Poultry Market, Smithfield, Provision Salesman. June 1 at 3 at Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st, Tucker

Gamble, Robert, Boston Spa, York, Farmer. May 25 at 3 at offices of Granger, Bank st, Leeds

Glover, John, Boston, Lincoln, Brewer. May 26 at 11 at offices of Toynbee and Co, Bank st, Lincoln

Gordon, James, jun, Leeds, Carriage Manufacturer. May 26 at 3 at offices of Wells, Cookridge st, Leeds

Green, Thomas George, Bury St Edmunds, out of business. June 7 at 12 at offices of Salmon and Son, Guildhall st, Bury St Edmunds

Grieve, George Morley, Liverpool, Grocer's Assistant. May 28 at 2 at offices of Fowler, Cable st, Liverpool

Griffiths, Henry, Lloiney Beguldy, Radnor, Besrhous Keeper. June 1 at 12 at offices of Marston and Sons, Corve st, Ludlow

Habbershaw, Martin, and John Charles Hullock, Kingston-upon-Uhll, Grocers. May 27 at 3 at offices of Jordeson and Whiteing, County bldgs, Kingston-upon-Hull

Haddock, William James, Armley, Leeds, Plasterer. May 26 at 11 at offices of Wells, Cookridge st, Leeds

Harris, Thomas Francis, Newbold Moor, Derby, out of business. May 24 at 3 at offices of Cutts, Market Hall chambers, Chesterfield

Harvey, Alfred, Buckingham Palace rd, Grocer. May 26 at 3 at the Guildhall Coffee house, Gresham st. Wild and Co, Ironmonger lane

Haskins, Frederick, Norfolk ter, Westbourne grove, Milliner. June 1 at 2 at offices of Webb and Son, Barbican chambers, Barbican

Hayes, Joseph, Bradford, York, Dyer. May 27 at 11 at offices of Last and Betts, Tyrell st, Bradford

Hobbs, Henry, Exeter, Venetian Blind Manufacturer. May 26 at 11 at offices of Petherick, Southemhay, Exeter

Hollinrake, John, Todmorden, York, Watchmaker. May 28 at 3 at the Mitre Hotel, Cookdale yard, Manchester. Craven, Strand

Howlett, Joseph, Wolverhampton, Milliner. June 1 at 12 at offices of Gath, King st, Wolverhampton

Hunt, Thomas, Liverpool, Confectioner. May 28 at 2 at offices of Paynter, South Castle st, Liverpool

Hutchinson, Joseph Frederick, Barnsley, York, Picture Frame Dealer. May 25 at 10 at offices of Gray, Eastgate, Barnsley

Ibesson, Thomas, Barnsley, York, Ginger Beer Manufacturer. May 31 at 3 at offices of Tyas and Co, Regent st, Barnsley

Jeffreys, William, Dock, Cardiff, Butcher. May 27 at 11 at offices of Morgan and Scott, High st, Cardiff

Jolly, Patrick, and George Lawson, Whickham, Durham, Builders. May 27 at 11 at offices of Pybus, Dean st, Newcastle-on-Tyne

Jordan, John, Birmingham, Surgeon. May 28 at 11.30 at offices of Powell and Frowett, Ann st, Birmingham

Joseph, Abraham, Birmingham, Clothier. May 26 at 2 at offices of Hodgson and Haigh, Waterloo st, Birmingham

King, Thomas, and Thomas Arthur King, Preswiche, Lancaster, Contractors. May 31 at 2 at the Merchant's Hotel, Oldham st, Manchester. Evans, Manchester

Lamb, Ebenezer, Leatherhead, Surrey, Draper. June 3 at 11 at the Castle and F-leon Hotel, Aldersgate st. Digby and Evans

Lines, Henry, Wharf, Harrow rd, Hay Salesman. May 25 at 12 at offices of Pain, Marylebone rd

Linnell, George, Derby, Draper. June 2 at 3 at the St James' Hotel, St James' st, Derby. Atkinson, Manchester

Lister, Joseph Ward, Bradford, York, Butcher. May 26 at 11 at offices of Terry and Robinson, Market st, Bradford

Lowings, S-yphen, Gamlingay, Cambridge, Builder. June 4 at 11 at offices of Symonds, Benet st, Cambridge

Main, William Henry, Kingsland rd, Plumber. June 8 at 3 at office of Tideman, Finsbury sq

McLaughlin, Mary Ann, Liverpool, out of business. May 28 at 3 at offices of Lowe Mount pleasant, Liverpool

Mead, Frederick, Victoria Dock rd, Canning Town, Grocer. May 26 at 3 at offices of Walker, King's Arms yard, Moorgate st

Meyers, Charles, Swansea, out of business. May 27 at 3 at the Glos'ter Hotel, nr South Dock, Swansea

Muller, John, Regent Circus, Oxford st, Watchmaker. May 26 at 12 at offices of Sampson, Marylebone rd

Mulley, James Cooper, Seymour place, Brvaston sq, Saddler. May 27 at 8 at offices of Fox, St Mary's sq, Paddington

North, Thomas, St Martin's lane, Victualler. June 9 at 2 at offices of Nash and Field, Queen st, Victoria st

Oliver, Anthony, Darlington, Durham, Stationer. May 28 at 11 at offices of Clayhills, Coniscliffe rd, Darlington

Parsons, James Edward, Adderbury, Oxford, Butcher. June 1 at 3 at the Unicorn Hotel, Deddington. Kinch, Deddington

Perry, Joseph, Dalton-in-Furness, Lancaster, out of business. May 25 at 11 at the Trevelyan Temperance Hotel, Church st, Barrow-in-Furness. Sims, Barrow-in-Furness

Perry, Walter Henry, Street, Somerset, Farmer. May 26 at 12 at offices of Bath, Chikwell st, Glastonbury

Petengell, John Edward, Ware, Hertford, Sadler. May 31 at 11 at the Four Swans Hotel, Bishopsgate st Within. Gisby and Son, Ware

Price, William, jun, Stockton-on-Tees, Provision Merchant. May 19 at 3 at Gregory's Corporation Hotel, Church row, Stockton-on-Tees. Wilson, West Hartlepool

Pye, William, Rainford, Lancaster, Grocer. June 3 at 3 at 8, Hardshaw st, St Helen's. Riley and Cook, St Helen's

Quilton, James William, Crewe, Chester, Licensed Victualler. May 29 at 11 at offices of Hill, Market st, Crewe

Richardson, John, Landport, Hants, Butcher. June 1 at 12 at offices of Addison, Guildhall chambers, Pembroke rd, Portsmouth

Roberts, Thomas Robert, Rosoman st, Clerkenwell, Licensed Victualler. May 31 at 3 at offices of Thomson and Co, Cornhill

Robinson, Charles, Penton st, Pentonville, Goldsmith. May 24 at 12 at offices of Ruffiffe, Adam st, Adelphi

Rudd, Herbert Harrison, Trinity sq, Borough, out of employment. May 22 at 10.30 at offices of Savage, Eastcheap

Saunders, John, Piongh ct, Fetter lane, Printer. May 27 at 3 at offices of Walls and Co, Queen Victoria st

Scott, Edward James, Kalam, Northumberland, Farmer. May 21 at 3 at offices of Macdonald, Mosley st, Newcastle-upon-Tyne

Scullard, George Alfred, Brighton, Sussex, Schoolmaster. May 27 at 3 at offices of Williams, Middle st, Brighton

Shayler, John George William, Hoylake, Chester, Painter. May 29 at 11 at offices of Webster, South Castle st, Liverpool

Sibley, Frederick, Offord yard, Burnside, Cab Proprietor. May 28 at 3 at the Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st. Bohm, Old Jewry

Smallwood, John, Handsworth, Stafford, Corn Dealer. May 28 at 11 at offices of Taylor, Colmore row, Birmingham

Smith, William Young, Greenheys, Manchester, Wine Merchant. May 25 at 3 at offices of Boardman, Fall Mail, Manchester. Stevenson, Manchester

Stanton, Caroline Amy Annie, Barton-on-Trent, Boot Dealer. May 28 at 3 at the Midland Hotel, Station st, Burton-on-Trent. Bright, Burton-on-Trent

Stephenson, James, Preston, Lancashire, Pawnbroker. May 26 at 11 at the County Court Offices, Winckley st, Preston. Clarke, Preston

Stewart, William, and Alfred Handlow, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Upholterers. May 26 at 3 at offices of Chartres and Co, Grainger st West, Newcastle-upon-Tyne

Storey, Richard, Castleford, York, Fruiterer. May 24 at 3 at North Eastern Hotel, Castleford. Lodge, Wakefield

Sykes, David, Castleford, York, Builder. May 27 at 3 at North Eastern Hotel, Castleford. Horner, Wakefield

Taylor, William Peck, Tottenham-lane, Hornsey, Builder. May 31 at 2 at offices of Willoughby and Winch, Lancaster pl, Strand

Thompson, John William, York, out of business. June 1 at 1 at offices of Wilkinson, St Helen's sq, York

Vallender, George, Eldersfield, Worcester, Dealer. May 24 at 10 at Northfield House, North pl, Cheltenham. Potter, Cheltenham

Waldren, Albert, Redhill, Surrey, Plumber. June 4 at 12 at offices of Everett and Smith, Chapside

Walker, Thomas, Manchester, Tailor. May 27 at 3 at offices of Johnson, Brzenosse st, Manchester

Wheelodon, William George, Ilkeston, Derby, Lacemaker. May 26 at 11 at offices of Stevenson, Weekday Cross, Nottingham

Wheeler, Anna Maria, Bournemouth, Hants, Ironmonger. May 21 at 2 at the County Court Hotel, High Holborn. Trevanion, Poole

Wilson, William, Royston, Cambridge, Tailor. May 28 at 3 at offices of Ginn, St Andrew's st, Cambridge

Woolry, Tom, Southampton, House Furnisher. May 31 at 3.30 at offices of Harris and Godwin, Moorgate st

Woolley, Charles, jun., South st, Whitechapel rd, Carman. May 25 at 3 at offices of Fox, Saint Mary's sq, Paddington

Young, Enoch, Nottingham, Millar. May 29 at 11 at offices of Stevenson, Weekday Cross, Nottingham

TUESDAY, May 18, 1880.

Adams, John, Southall, Middlesex, Farmer. June 8 at 2 at offices of Roston and Co, High st, Brentford

Aldred, David, Farm Cottage, Milford, Derby, of no occupation. June 12 at 11 at offices of Henry, Market place, Derby

Anning, John Bond, Leeds, Commercial Traveller. May 31 at 11 at offices of Hepps and Bedford, Bank st, Leeds

Armstrong, Charles, Wolverhampton, Baker. June 1 at 3 at offices of Wilcock, North st, Wolverhampton

Asheford, Thomas, Princes rd, Notting Hill, Provision Dealer. May 24 at 12 at 122, Holborn. Hyett, Manchester st, King's Cross

Aves, Richard, Heigham, Norwich, Butcher. May 29 at 10 at offices of Kent, St Andrew's Hall plain, Norwich

Bachhouse, Blythe, Gae, York, Butch r. May 31 at 3 at offices of Hind, Faversham, Gae

Baron, Benjamin, Sheffield, Carriage Builder. May 26 at 12 at offices of Pierson, Queen st, Sheffield

Bates, John, Tamworth, Stafford, Tailor. May 28 at 3 at offices of Nevill and Atkins, Colehill, Tamworth

Bell, William, West Hartlepool, Durham, Joiner. May 24 at 3 at offices of Bell and Son, Church st, West Hartlepool

Benton, William, Westbromwich, Stafford, Ironfounder. May 28 at 3 at offices of Edwards, Waterloo st, Birmingham

Bird, John Samuel, Trammere, Cheshire, Cow keeper. May 31 at 3 at offices of Thompson, Hamilton st, Birkhead

Bulton, William, Wolverhampton, Lock Manufacturer. June 2 at 3 at offices of Rhodes, Queen st, Wolverhampton

Bown, William John, Redford, Nottingham, Shoemaker. June 3 at 11 at offices of Bescoy, Grove st, Redford

Brooke, William, Ravensthorpe, York, Tailor. June 3 at 2 at Black Bull Hotel, Miffield. Brooks

Butcher, William, sen, Regency st, Westminster, Licensed Victualler. June 3 at 2 at Cannon st Hotel, Cannon st. Lovett and Co, King William st

Buxton, Robert, Waingroves, near Ripley, Derby, out of business. June 1 at 3 at offices of Whittingham, Middle pavement, Nottingham

Candy, John, Freemantle, Southampton, Builder. May 28 at 3 at offices of Burnett, High st, Southampton. Perkins and Candy, Southampton

Cavill, Alfred, Stourport, Worcester, Grocer. June 9 at 3 at offices of Barcher, Church st, Kidderminster

Chapman, Samuel, West, Lancaster, Baker. May 28 at 3 at Mitre Hotel, Cathedral yard, Manchester. Edwards, Manchester

Chapman, Thomas, Thorpdale rd, Islington, Builder. May 26 at 2 at Masons' Hall Tavern, Masons' avenue, Basinghall st. Bassett, City road

Chawig, Mark, and David Chawig, Brushfield st, Woolton Warehousemen. June 4 at 11 at offices of Arncliffe and Co, John William st, Huddersfield. Green, Queen st

Cooper, Samuel Manell, Stafford, Tobacconist. May 29 at 10 at offices of Addison, High st, Brierley Hill

Crossley, Joseph, Dewsbury, York, Harness Maker. June 2 at 3 at offices of Schofield and Son, Wellington rd, Dewsbury

Dalgarns, David Croft, Palmerston bldgs, Civil Engineer. May 31 at 3 at offices of Tillyard, Essex st, Strand

Davies, Robert, West Kirby Village, Chester, Journeyman Butcher. May 31 at 2 at offices of Morris and Jones, Dale st, Liverpool

Drew, Thomas Hodgson, Manchester, Commission Agent. June 8 at 3 at offices of Adleshaw and Warburton, Norfolk st, Manchester

Driver, Samuel Lees Jackson, Oldham, Lancaster, Licensed Victualler. June 2 at 3 at offices of Ascroft and Sons, Clegg st, Oldham.  
 Duff, John, Upper Thames st, Builder. May 27 at 8 at 32, Newington causeway. Fowler, Abchurch lane.  
 Dyer, Francis Vincent, New Kent rd, Coffee-house Keeper. May 27 at 2 at offices of Woodly and Co, Guildhall chambers. Emerson, Leadenhall st.  
 Edwards, Charles Sheridan, Aston, Warwick, Printer. June 1 at 11 at offices of Taylor, Colmore row, Birmingham.  
 Elvin, Edward, Lidgate, Suffolk, Grocer. June 2 at 12.30 at at Garwood's Railway Hotel, Bury St Edmunds.  
 Eustace, Henry, Leyton, Essex, Grocer. May 31 at 12 at offices of Andrew, Clement's lane.  
 Ezard, Emmanuel, Easingwold, York, Nurseryman. May 31 at 12 at offices of Mann and Son, New st, York.  
 Fayolle, Leonce, Vauxhall bridge rd, Importer of Eggs. June 10 at 3 at offices of Abrahams and Co, Old Jewry.  
 Fedden, Nelson, Cardiff, Engineer. May 31 at 12 at offices of Beckingham, Albion chambers, Broad st, Bristol.  
 Forrest, William, Sunderland, Durham, Tailor. June 3 at 11 at offices of Wilford, Fawcett st, Sunderland.  
 Franklin, Vincent Patrick, Long Acre, Licensed Victualler. June 3 at 2 at offices of Lickorish, Walbrook.  
 Freeman, Samuel, Leicester, Cabinet Maker. June 2 at 3 at offices of Burgess and Williams, Berridge st, Leicester.  
 Fuller, George Douglas, and Canrobert English, Stunhope st, Euston rd, Furniture Manufacturers. June 2 at 2 at offices of Moggs, Shoreditch High st. Noon and Clarke, Blomfield st.  
 Fuller, William, Caledonian rd, Grocer. May 26 at 3 at offices of Aird, Kestechep.  
 Furcham, Edward James, Chelmsford, Essex, Licensed Victualler. May 31 at 12 at offices of Scarlett and Suttbury, Chelmsford.  
 Geihen, William, Hereford, Chemist. May 31 at 2 at offices of Humphrys, Bridge st, Hereford.  
 Glover, John, Kendal, Westmoreland, Hosier. May 31 at 11 at offices of Thomson and Wilson, Finkle st, Kendal.  
 Gough, James Bernard, Rhyll, Flint, Lapidary Jeweller. May 29 at 11 at the Crewe Arms Hotel, Clewe. Davies, Holywell.  
 Gunn, Thomas, Leicester, Joiner. June 1 at 3 at offices of Burgess and Williams, Berridge st, Leicester.  
 Hall, James Robert, Morpeth, Northumberland, Grocer. May 27 at 12 at the Doug as Hotel, Newcastle-on-Tyne. Webb, Morpeth.  
 Harding, A. Fred, Gloucester, out of business. May 27 at 11 at offices of York, Berkeley st, Gloucester.  
 Harris, Edwin, Tolland Farnham, Dorset, Farm Bailiff. June 3 at 3 at offices of Davies, the Abbey, Sherborne.  
 Harris, John Oliver, Wolverhampton, Land Agent. June 3 at 11 at offices of Fiewker and Parr, Darlington st, Wolverhampton.  
 Hartnett, Edward, Aldershot, Southampton, General Dealer. June 8 at 4 at offices of Foster, Victoria rd, Aldershot.  
 Harvey, George Edward, Brooke, Norfolk, Beer Retailer. June 1 at 12 at offices of Sadd and Linay, Theatre st, Norwich.  
 Harvey, Isaac, Birmingham, Baker. May 27 at 11 at offices of Beaton and Robinson, Church st, Birmingham.  
 Haynes, George, Birmingham, Gun Barrel Maker. May 28 at 10.15 at offices of East, Temple st, Birmingham.  
 Hebbelthwait, Henry Ather, Murray's, Camdensq, Baker. May 29 at 11 at the Inns of Court Hotel, Holborn. Wright, Crosby Hall chambers.  
 Howard, John Crossley, Rochdale, Lancashire, Grocer. May 29 at 11 at offices of Moleworth, Central chambers, the Walk, Rochdale.  
 Inward, Robert, New Cleve, near Great Grimsby, Fishing Vessel Owner. May 27 at 11 at offices of Stephenson and Mountain, Bethlehem st, Great Grimsby.  
 Jacobs, Louis, Chesham, Manchester, Hosier. June 10 at 3 at offices of Elliott, King st, Manchester.  
 James, Thomas, Newport, Monmouth, Butcher. May 29 at 10 at offices of David, Cambria chambers, Tredgar pl, Newport.  
 Jones, John, Rhyll, Flint, Commercial Traveller. May 31 at 12 at Albion Hotel, Chester. Davies, Holywell.  
 Jones, Mary Frances, and Sarah Allen Jones, Rhyll, Flint, Milliners. May 31 at 11 at Albion Hotel, Chester. Davies, Holywell.  
 Jones, Sydney, Cardiff, Contractor. May 31 at 11 at offices of Morgan, Albert chambers, Cardiff.  
 Jones, Thomas Henry, Co wen, Merioneth, Licensed Victualler. May 29 at 11.45 at Wynnstay Arms Hotel, Wrexham. Louis and Edwards, Ruthin.  
 Key, Joseph, Stoke-upon-Trent, Boot and Shoe Manufacturer. May 26 at 10 at County Court Offices, Stoke-upon-Trent. Robinson, Stoke-upon-Trent.  
 Kilbourn, George, Lillbourne, Northampton, Innkeeper. May 28 at 11 at offices of Wright and Gledhill, North st, Rugby.  
 Killick, William, South Norwood, Surrey, Builder. May 27 at 2.30 at offices of Head, Bell st, Reigate.  
 Kinchin, Thomas, Marston Sica, Gloucester, Farmer. June 2 at 12 at offices of New and Co, Evesham.  
 Lapham, William, Wells, Somerset, Draper. June 3 at 1 at Grand Hotel, Broad st, Bristol. Hobbs, Jon, Wells.  
 Lawson, S-pimus, Hartlepool, Durham, Teacher of Music. May 22 at 12 at offices of Edgar, Town Walk, Hartlepool.  
 Lock, John George, Brownlow newa, Gray's inn rd, Cabinet Maker. May 28 at 11 at Red Lion Hotel, Loyalstall st, Holbion, Wright, Crosby Hall chambers.  
 Lord, Alfred Henry, Canterbury, Stationer. June 1 at 12 at offices of Collard, Cassel st, Canterbury.  
 Luing, William, Westcote Barton, Oxford, Clothier. May 31 at 2 at the Fox Hotel, Chipping Norton. Kilby and Mace, Chipping Norton.  
 Lynas, William, South Stockton, Ryth, Builder. June 8 at 11 at offices of Dodds and Co, Finkle st, Stockton-on-Tees.  
 Macey, Joseph, Bucknall, Stafford, Colliery Manager. May 26 at 12 at the Royal Hotel, Crewe. Ashmall, Hanley.  
 Marmory, Julius Villin, Brighton, Sussex, Tutor. June 3 at 12 at offices of Taylor and Baker, Ship st, Brighton. Collard, Brighton.  
 Meyer, Stephen, George Mader, and Joseph Beha, Vernon pl, Bloomsbury sq, Watch Manufacturers. June 1 at 2 at Mullens Hotel, Ironmonger lane, Follen, Basinghall st.  
 Montgomerie, John, Lawn, Uxbridge, Draper. June 9 at 11 at 111, Chesapeake. Baugh and Agar, Gresham st.

Moore, Charles, Bath, Grocer, May 27 at 11 at offices of Bicketts, Paragon, Bath.  
 Moore, Edward, Freeman's st, Cheapside, Licensed Victualler. May 25 at 3 at offices of Swaine, King st, Cheapside.  
 Moss, Charles Aubrey, Dean st, New North rd, Wholesale Confectioner. May 31 at 3 at offices of Harcourt and Co, Finsbury sq. Hope, Bell yd, Fleet st.  
 Musgrave, George Edwin, Leeds, out of business. May 29 at 11 at offices of Watson, Great George st, Leeds.  
 Needham, Alfred, Manchester, Publican. May 22 at 11 at Mitre Hotel, Cathedral yard, Cateaton st, Manchester, in lieu of place originally named.  
 Newbery, William, Axminster, Devon, Innkeeper. May 31 at 12 at George Hotel, Axminster. For ward.  
 Noad, John Henry, Clare st, Clare Market, Butcher. May 1 at 11 at offices of James, London.  
 Parker, William, Manchester, Timber Merchant. June 1 at 12 at 20, Arcade chambers, St Mary's gate, Manchester. Jones and Middleton.  
 Pearce, Alfred John Hillas, Woudham, near Rochester, Farmer. May 29 at 12.30 at King's Head Hotel, High st, Rochester. Hughes, Chapel st, Bedford row.  
 Phillips, Thomas, and Henry Norris Cox, Philpot lane, Chemical Agents. May 31 at 2 at offices of Naunton, Cheapside.  
 Procter, James, Well st, Woolton Warehouseman. May 26 at 11 at offices of Treweek and Southcott, King st, Cheapside. Cannon, King st, Cheapside.  
 Read, George Beaumont, Norwich, Tailor. June 2 at 12 at offices of Sadd and Linay, Theatre st, Norwich.  
 Reddis, James, Hillmorton, Warwick, Baker. May 28 at 10 at offices of Wright and Gledhill, North st, Rugby.  
 Sennat, Frederick, Hanley, Stafford, Painter. May 26 at 11 at Royal Hotel, Crewe. Ashmall, Hanley.  
 Sharples, Peter, Blackburn, Lancashire, out of business. June 3 at 2.30, at St John's Tavern, Almsworth st, Blackburn. Marriott, Blackburn.  
 Shepherd, Joseph, Morley, York, Grocer. June 1 at 10.30 at offices of Schofield, Brunswick st, Batley.  
 Smith Edward, Hoo St. Werburgh, nr Rochester, Licensed Victualler. June 1 at 11 at offices of Wood and McLellan, High st, Rochester.  
 Smith, Thomas, and William Erolmann, Bradford, York, Stuff Merchants. June 7 at 11 at offices of Rawson and Co, Piccadilly, Bradford.  
 Snelling, Edward, Guilford st, Preserved Provision Merchant. May 27 at 2 at offices of Bath and Soe, St Benet pl, Gracechurch st. Sydney, Finsbury circus.  
 Stainsby, William, Hartlepool, Durham, Postmaster. May 21 at 11 at offices of Todd, Surtees st, West Hartlepool.  
 Starr, Frank, Cornhill, Drawing Case Manufacturer. May 26 at 2 at offices of Grueber, Railway approach, London bridge.  
 Steeds, James, West Lydford, Somerset, Farmer. May 29 at 2 at offices of Hobbs, Chamberlaine st, Wells.  
 Steward, Elisha, Barham, Suffolk, Hay Dealer. June 1 at 12 at offices of Pollard, St Laurence st, Ipswich.  
 Stowell, Arthur Charles, Tunbridge Wells, Kent, Tailor. June 1 at 3 at offices of Borton, Mitre st chambers, Temple.  
 Taylor, Thomas A. Fred, New Church rd, Camberwell, Mineral Water Manufacturer. June 3 at 2 at Cannon st Hotel. Ingle and Co, Threashedale st.  
 Taylor, William, New Radford, Nottingham, Wheelwright. June 2 at 3 at offices of Bright, Town Club chambers, Wheeler gate, Nottingham.  
 Umpleby, William, Leeds, Grocer. June 1 at 3 at the Creditors Association, Park row, Leeds.  
 Ward, Alfred, Birmingham, Ironmonger. May 31 at 10.15 at offices of East, Temple st, Birmingham.  
 Waters, Wray, Riccall, near York, Joiner. June 2 at 1.30 at the Hare and Hounds Inn, Riccall. Rhodes, Sherburn, near South Mid'rd.  
 Watts, Selina, Elmore, Gloucester, Farmer. May 26 at 11 at offices of Franklin, Berkeley st, Gloucester.  
 Welbourn, George Parker, Leeds, Grocer. May 31 at 3 at the Creditors Association, Leeds.  
 Westley, Kennedy Gordon, Southampton, Musician. June 2 at 12 at offices of Lucas, Great Marlborough st, Regent st. Swayne, Southampton.  
 Whitbread, Charles Thomas, Northampton, Builder. June 1 at 11 at offices of Andrew, Market sq, Northampton.  
 Wildon, George, Middleborough, Saddler. June 1 at 3 at offices of Lewis, Zealand rd, Middleborough.  
 Wilkinson, Joseph, Whitby, York, Farmer. June 1 at 11 at offices of Gray and Pannett, Flowergate, Whitby.  
 Wolf, John Smallman, Welshpool, Montgomery, of no occupation. June 4 at 11 at 11, Berrew st, Welshpool Harrison.

## SCHWEITZER'S COCOATINA,

Anti-Dyspeptic Cocoa or Chocolate Powder.

Guaranteed Pure Soluble Cocoa of the Finest Quality, with the excess of fat extracted.

The Faculty pronounces it "the most nutritious, perfectly digestible beverage for Breakfast, Luncheon, or Supper, and invaluable for Invalids and Children."

Highly commended by the entire Medical Press.

Being without sugar, spice, or other admixture, it suits all palates, keeps better in all climates, and is four times the strength of cocoa as received, yet weakened with starch, &c., and is REALITY CHEAPER than such Mixtures.

Made instantaneously with boiling water, a teaspoonful to a Breakfast Cup, costing less than a halfpenny.

COCOATINA A LA VANILLE is the most delicate, digestible, cheapest Vanilla Chocolate, and may be taken when richer chocolate is prohibited.

In tin packets at 1s. 6d., 3s., 6s., &c., by Chemists and Grocers.

Charities on Special Terms by the Sole Proprietors,

H. SCHWEITZER & CO., 10, Adam-street, London, W.C.



**MR. G. H. JONES,**

SURGEON DENTIST,

57, GREAT RUSSELL-STREET, LONDON

(Opposite the British Museum),

Will be glad to forward his Pamphlet on Painless Dentistry, free, enclosed by post, which explains the most unique system of the adjustment of ARTIFICIAL TEETH without pain.

CONSULTATION FREE FROM 10 TO 5.

**EDE AND SON****R O B E      MAKERS**

BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT,

To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench Corporation of London, &c.

**SOLICITORS' AND REGISTRARS' GOWNS.**

BARRISTERS' AND QUEEN'S COUNSEL'S DITTO.

CORPORATION ROBES UNIVERSITY & CLERGY GOWNS, &c.  
ESTABLISHED 1689.

**94. CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.****RESTAURANT PARISIEN**

(LATE MADME. JANET),

10, CASTLE-STREET, HOLBORN

(OPPOSITE THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY).

First-class Luncheon Bar for gentlemen in the neighbourhood. Public and Private Dining Rooms. Dinners for 3s. 6d., 4s. 6d., 5s. 6d., 7s. 6d., and 10s. 6d. Will be served in the Private Dining Rooms; no extra charge for room or pianoforte. Choicest Wines, Beer, and Spirits.—Proprietors, DORRIS BROTHERS.

AN IMPORTANT CONVENIENCE TO LAW WRITERS AND SOLICITORS.

**STEPHENS' SCARLET INK FOR STEEL PENS**

This new Ink supplies the demand continually made but never before met, for a Red Ink which is unajured by Steel or other Metallic Pens. Steel Pens left in this Ink for months do not impair the beauty of its colour, nor are the Pens in the least corroded by it. The existing Red Inks rapidly destroy Steel Pens, and lose their red colour if used with other than Gold or Quill Pens. This new colour is a very rich scarlet red of great beauty. The colour of this Ink is not affected by use upon parchment, and is consequently of great value to Solicitors and Draughtsmen.

Sold in stone bottles, retail at 1s., 2s., 3s.; and Imperial Quarts of 43 ounces at 6s. each. Also in glass bottles at 6d. and 1s. each.

**WASTE PAPER OLD PARCHMENT PURCHASED** in any quantities. Old ledgers, newspapers, magazines, letters, invoices, &c. All papers destroyed, being reduced to pulp for re-manufacture. Vans collect daily within twelve miles of London. Highest prices returned per P.O.O. Country parcels secure. Immediate attention.—PHILLIPS, MILLS, & Co.'s Works, 3, Amberley Wharf, Paddington.

**WASTE PAPER****WASTE PAPER****WASTE PAPER****KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY.**

**KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY.** Pure, Mild and Mellow, Delicious and most Wholesome. Universally recommended by the Profession. The Cream of Old Irish Whiskies.

**KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY.** Dr. Hassall says—"Soft and Mellow, Pure, well Matured, and of very excellent quality."

**KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY.** Gold Medal, Paris Exhibition, 1878; Dublin Exhibition, 1865, the Gold Medal. 20, Great Titchfield Street, London, W.

**BRAND AND CO'S OWN SAUCE.****SOUPS, PRESERVED PROVISIONS,****POTTED MEATS AND YORK AND GAME PIES,****also****ESSENCE OF BEEF, BEEF TEA,****TURTLE SOUP, and JELLY, and other****SPECIALITIES for INVALIDS.**

CAUTION:—BEWARE OF IMITATIONS.

Sole Address,

11, LITTLE STANHOPE STREET, MAYFAIR, W.

**THE SECRETARYSHIP to the FIRE OFFICES**

COMMITTEE will shortly become vacant by the resignation of Mr. Dalby, and the Committee are prepared to receive applications from Candidates for the appointment. Every applicant should state his age and nature of his present employment, and should furnish references.—All letters to be addressed to "THE FIRE OFFICES COMMITTEE, 11, Queen-street, Cheapside, E.C." Salary about £500 per annum.

**TO SOLICITORS.—The Freeholder of a London**

Building Estate wishes to arrange for a mortgage thereon, AND FOR FINANCING BUILDERS. Agents not treated with.—FREEHOLDER, 26, Loughborough-park, S.W.

**TO CAPITALISTS and SOLICITORS.—**

WANTED, the advance of £500, £1,000, or more or less Spare Capital on good Reversionary Securities. Good Interest and other advantages.—Address B., care of Smith, Stationer, 14, Curator-street, Chancery-lane, E.C.

**TO BE SOLD.—Freehold House and Shop, situate**

at St. John's Wood, let on repairing lease at £75 per annum.—Apply to Mr. TURNER, Auctioneer, 47, Moorgate-street, E.C.

**A YOUNG IRISH SOLICITOR, who has**

practised in Ireland for four years, would be glad to re-serve his articles with a good London firm. No premium to be asked for. He would be willing to devote his whole time to the service of the business.—For particulars as to salary, &c., please apply to X. X., 41, Waterloo-street, Birmingham.

**WANTED, by a Firm of Solicitors in good**

practise in the City of London, a Clerk to manage the Chancery, Common Law, and Bankruptcy Departments.—Apply by letter, stating qualifications and salary required, to X., Messrs. D. Wood & Son, 16, Basinghall-street, E.C.

**WANTED, by a Highly-Respectable Married**

Person, CARE of OFFICES or CHAMBERS, Thorough good Cook and Housekeeper. Could cater for two or three Gentlemen. Husband employed during the day. Would sleep on the premises. Seven years' good reference. No family. Can furnish own rooms, if required.—B. W., 170, Upper Kennington-lane.

**LAW.—A Solicitor (admitted 1879) desires a**

Managing Clerkship (Conveyancing or Chancery, or both) with slight supervision, and with or without a view to Partnership. Unusually good references.—Apply, A. B., "Solicitors' Journal" Office, Carey-street, Chancery-lane, W.C.

**LAW COSTS and ACCOUNTS.—An experienced**

Bill Clerk offers his services. Understands Accounts, including Kaine's. Knowledge of Conveyancing and General Business Department or otherwise, Shorthand writer.—ROWLAND, 4, Highbury-parade, Bristol.

**COSTS Drawn and Settled on Fair Terms by a**

Solicitor who has had 20 years' experience in settling costs and legal accounts in offices with large practices.—Address COSTS, 117, Chancery-lane, W.C.

**WANTED TO PURCHASE, to Complete a Set,**

Vols. 15 to 20 of the WEEKLY REPORTER.—State lowest price for the whole or any single vol. to ALPHA, care of E. W. Owles, Esq., 22, Chancery-lane, W.C.

**THE CHURCH PREFERMENT GAZETTE**

(issued Monthly), containing full particulars of Advowsons, Presentations, &c., for sale, together with useful advice to purchasers, should be referred to by every purchaser. Edited by Mr. W. EMERY STARK (Associate Institute of Actuaries, F.R.G.S., &c.).—Address (enclosing six stamps), Messrs. W. EMERY STARK, 23, Beaufort-street, Strand.

**CITY OFFICES.—Five First-floor Rooms, with**

separate entrance, in a leading City thoroughfare. Suitable for a Solicitor or other professional man. Rent moderate.—Apply to CHARLES HIGHAM, 27, Farringdon-street, London, E.C.

**STRONG ROOMS.—To LET, in New-square, Lin-**

coln's-inn, on the Ground and Basement Floors, with separate entrance from ground floor, two large strong rooms, with Chubb's fittings and all modern improvements and lavatory, &c.—Apply to Z., care of Mr. Byre, Law Stationer, 14, New-square, Lincoln's-inn.

**REVERSIONARY and LIFE INTERESTS in**

LANDED or FUNDED PROPERTY or other Securities and Annuities PURCHASED, or Loans or Annuities thereon granted, by the EQUITABLE REVERSIONARY INTEREST SOCIETY (LIMITED), 10, Lancaster-place, Waterloo Bridge, Strand. Established 1835. Capital, £500,000. Interest on Loans may be capitalized.

F. S. CLAYTON, } Joint  
C. H. CLAYTON, } Secretaries.

**LONDON GAZETTE (published by authority) and LONDON and**

COUNTRY ADVERTISEMENT OFFICE.  
No. 117, CHANCERY LANE, FLEET STREET.

**HENRY GREEN, Advertisement Agent, begs to**

direct the attention of the Legal Profession to the advantages of his long experience of upwards of thirty years, in the special insertion of all pro forma notices, &c., and hereby solicits their continued support.—N. B. One copy of advertisement only required, and the strictest care and promptitude assured. Officially stamped forms for advertisement and file of "London Gazette" kept. By appointment.